AgFirst Farm Credit Bank & District Associations

THIRD QUARTER

2015 Quarterly Report

FARM CREDIT

THIRD QUARTER 2015

Table of Contents

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting 2

Management's Discussion and Analysis of
Financial Condition and Results of Operations 3

Combined Financial Statements:
Combined Balance Sheets 13
Combined Statements of Income 14
Combined Statements of Comprehensive Income 15
Combined Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity 16
Combined Statements of Cash Flows 17

Notes to the Combined Financial Statements 18

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned certify that we have reviewed the September 30, 2015 quarterly report of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank and District Associations, that the report has been prepared under the oversight of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and in accordance with all applicable statutory or regulatory requirements, and that the information contained herein is true, accurate, and complete to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Dale R. Hershey
Chairman of the Board

Leon T. Amerson
Chief Executive Officer & President

Charl L. Butler
Chief Financial Officer

November 6, 2015

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

AgFirst Farm Credit Bank's (Bank) and each affiliated District Agricultural Credit Association's (District Association) principal executives and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Bank's and each District Association's respective Consolidated Financial Statements. For purposes of this report, "internal control over financial reporting" is defined as a process designed by, or under the supervision of the Bank's and each District Association's principal executives and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by its Board of Directors, management and other personnel. This process provides reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting information and the preparation of the respective Consolidated Financial Statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Bank and each District Association, (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial information in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Bank and each District Association, and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Bank's and each District Association's assets that could have a material effect on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bank's and each District Association's management has completed an assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2015. In making the assessment, management used the framework in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013)*, promulgated by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, commonly referred to as the "COSO" criteria.

Based on the assessment performed, the Bank's and each District Association's management concluded that as of September 30, 2015, the internal control over financial reporting was effective based upon the COSO criteria. Additionally, based on this assessment, the Bank's and each District Association's management determined that there were no material weaknesses in the internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2015.

Leon T. Amerson
Chief Executive Officer & President

Charl L. Butler
Chief Financial Officer

November 6, 2015

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion reviews the combined financial condition and results of operations of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank (AgFirst or Bank) and the District Agricultural Credit Associations (Associations or District Associations), collectively referred to as the District, as of and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2015. These comments should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements, the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements, and the 2014 Annual Report of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank and District Associations. The accompanying combined financial statements were prepared under the oversight of the Audit Committee of the AgFirst Board of Directors.

As of September 30, 2015, the District included nineteen Associations, all of which were structured as Agricultural Credit Association (ACA) holding companies, with Federal Land Credit Association (FLCA) and Production Credit Association (PCA) subsidiaries. PCAs originate and service short- and intermediate-term loans; FLCAs originate and service long-term real estate mortgage loans; and ACAs originate both long-term and short- and intermediate-term loans.

Key ratios and data reported below, and in the accompanying financial statements, address the financial performance of the District. However, neither the three months nor the nine months results of operations may be indicative of an entire year due to the seasonal nature of a portion of the District's business.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This quarterly report contains forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Words such as "anticipates," "believes," "could," "estimates," "may," "should," "will," or other variations of these terms are intended to identify the forward-looking statements. These statements are based on assumptions and analyses made in light of experience and other historical trends, current conditions, and expected future developments. However, actual results and developments may differ materially from the District's expectations and predictions due to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the District's control. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

- political, legal, regulatory, financial markets, and economic conditions and developments in the United States and abroad;
- economic fluctuations in the agricultural, rural infrastructure, international, and farm-related business sectors, as well as in the general economy;
- weather-related, disease, and other adverse climatic or biological conditions that periodically occur that impact agricultural productivity and income of District borrowers;
- changes in United States government support of the agricultural industry and the Farm Credit System (System) as a government-sponsored enterprise (GSE), as well as investor and rating agency reactions to events involving the U.S. government, other GSEs and other financial institutions;
- actions taken by the Federal Reserve System in implementing monetary and fiscal policy, as well as other policies and actions of the federal government that impact the financial services industry and the debt markets;
- credit, interest rate and liquidity risk inherent in lending activities; and
- changes in assumptions for determining the allowance for loan losses, other than temporary impairment and fair value measurements.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Loan Portfolio

The District's aggregate loan portfolio consists primarily of direct loans made by the Associations to eligible borrowers located within their chartered territories. Bank loans to District Associations have been eliminated in the combined District presentation. Diversification of the loan volume by Farm Credit Administration (FCA) regulatory type is illustrated in the following table:

Loan Portfolio *(dollars in thousands)*	**September 30, 2015**		**December 31, 2014**		**September 30, 2014**	
Real Estate Mortgage	$ 11,592,261	45%	$ 10,749,817	44%	$ 10,492,799	44%
Production and Intermediate-Term	7,516,171	30	7,650,543	31	7,582,138	31
Rural Residential Real Estate	2,965,725	12	2,954,004	12	2,929,038	12
Processing and Marketing	1,578,583	6	1,404,051	6	1,415,457	6
Energy and Water/Waste Disposal	460,935	2	468,589	2	447,615	2
Farm-Related Business	459,602	2	410,026	2	420,251	2
Communication	405,171	2	356,825	2	376,330	2
Loans to Cooperatives	299,225	1	261,652	1	288,874	1
Loans to Other Financing Institutions (OFIs)	111,120	–	95,512	–	100,712	–
Other (including Mission Related)	55,875	–	60,005	–	60,284	–
International	3,780	–	–	–	–	–
Lease Receivables	3,456	–	4,945	–	4,057	–
Total	$ 25,451,904	100%	$ 24,415,969	100%	$ 24,117,555	100%

Total loans outstanding were $25.452 billion at September 30, 2015, an increase of $1.036 billion, or 4.24 percent, compared to total loans outstanding at December 31, 2014 and an increase of $1.334 billion, or 5.53 percent, since September 30, 2014. The increase in loan volume resulted from improved economic conditions positively impacting borrowers in economically sensitive segments such as forestry and borrowers dependent on non-farm income. Also, loan demand over the previous twelve months benefitted from improved conditions in specific commodities such as the poultry, cattle, and swine sectors. Future loan demand is difficult to predict; however, continued modest growth is expected.

Credit Quality

Credit quality of the District's loans is shown below:

	Total Loan Portfolio Credit Quality as of:		
Classification	**September 30, 2015**	**December 31, 2014**	**September 30, 2014**
Acceptable	94.60%	94.28%	93.91%
OAEM *	2.85%	2.92%	3.08%
Adverse**	2.55%	2.80%	3.01%

**Other Assets Especially Mentioned*
***Adverse loans include substandard, doubtful, and loss loans.*

Loan portfolio credit quality improved at September 30, 2015 compared to December 31, 2014 and September 30, 2014. Loan portfolio credit quality at the producer level reflected improvement primarily due to incremental improvement of economic conditions. District real estate values have also continued to improve. Grain prices have declined due to higher than expected inventory and harvest levels. This benefitted the poultry, cattle, and swine sectors but pressured margins of grain producers. Due to geographic location, District borrowers are predominately net grain consumers. Improved housing starts continue to positively impact certain housing-related segments such as forestry and nursery/greenhouse. Extensive flooding in South Carolina in early October is not expected to have a material impact on the District's financial condition or results of operations. The impact is still being assessed; however, crop insurance mitigates potential borrower losses. Also, the flooded areas represent a relatively small footprint within the District. Credit quality is expected to stabilize given anticipated economic conditions.

Nonaccrual Loans

Nonaccrual loans represent all loans for which there is a reasonable doubt as to the collection of principal and/or interest under the contractual terms of the loan. Nonaccrual loans for the combined District at September 30, 2015 were $255.8

million compared to $311.0 million at December 31, 2014. The decrease of $55.1 million resulted primarily from repayments of $83.8 million, transfers to other property owned of $22.4 million, and reinstatements to accrual status of $21.8 million. Offsetting these decreases were $77.1 million of loan balances transferred to nonaccrual status. The ten largest nonaccrual borrower relationships at September 30, 2015 accounted for 23.40 percent of the total nonaccrual balance. At September 30, 2015, total nonaccrual loans were primarily classified in the forestry (20.06 percent of the total), poultry (13.42 percent), nursery/greenhouse (12.59 percent), field crops (8.45 percent), and cattle (7.32 percent) segments. Nonaccrual loans were 1.01 percent and 1.27 percent of total loans outstanding at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

A troubled debt restructuring (TDR) occurs when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and a concession is granted to the borrower that the Bank and District Associations would not otherwise consider. Concessions are granted to borrowers based on either an assessment of the borrower's ability to return to financial viability or a court order. The concessions can be in the form of a modification of terms, rates, or amounts owed. Acceptance of other assets and/or equity as payment may also be considered a concession. The type of alternative financing granted is chosen in order to minimize the loss incurred by the Bank and District Associations. TDRs decreased $31.5 million since December 31, 2014 and totaled $225.4 million at September 30, 2015. TDRs at September 30, 2015 were comprised of $114.1 million of accruing restructured loans and $111.3 million of nonaccrual restructured loans. Restructured loans were primarily in the forestry (22.00 percent of the total), nursery/greenhouse (19.02 percent), poultry (10.38 percent), and field crops (8.31 percent) segments.

Other Property Owned

Other property owned (OPO) consists primarily of assets once pledged as loan collateral that were acquired through foreclosure or deeded to the Bank and District Associations (or a lender group) in satisfaction of secured loans. OPO is generally comprised of real estate, equipment, and equity interests in companies or partnerships. OPO increased $4.9 million since December 31, 2014 and totaled $50.8 million at September 30, 2015. The increase was due to transfers to OPO of $31.4 million offset by disposals of $24.4 million and writedowns of $2.1 million. The largest OPO holding at September 30, 2015 was land received in settlement of loans to one borrower in the forestry segment totaling $10.7 million (21.06 percent of the total). See discussion of OPO expense in the *Noninterest Expenses* section below.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The District maintains an allowance for loan losses at a level management considers adequate to provide for probable and estimable credit losses within the loan portfolio as of each reported balance sheet date. Although aggregated in the District's combined financial statements, the allowance for loan losses of each District entity is particular to that institution and is not available to absorb losses realized by other District entities.

The allowance for loan losses was $179.5 million at September 30, 2015, as compared with $174.9 million at December 31, 2014, which was an increase of $4.6 million. Activity which increased the allowance during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 included provision expense of $2.0 million and loan recoveries of $12.1 million. Offsetting these increases were charge-offs of $9.4 million as loan collectability became more measurable and apparent. Charge-offs during the nine month period were related primarily to borrowers in the forestry (23.63 percent of the total), nursery/greenhouse (10.67 percent), cattle (8.71 percent), tree fruits and nuts (7.76 percent), dairy (7.67 percent), and poultry (7.43 percent) segments. Recoveries during the nine month period were related primarily to borrowers in the forestry (39.48 percent of the total) and nursery/greenhouse (33.21 percent) segments. The allowance at September 30, 2015 included specific reserves of $25.3 million (14.08 percent of the total) and $154.2 million (85.92 percent) of general reserves. The largest commodity segments included in the allowance at September 30, 2015 were the field crops (12.23 percent of the total), forestry (12.17 percent), poultry (10.63 percent), grain (7.65 percent), cattle (6.98 percent), and corn (6.12 percent) segments. See Note 2, *Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses*, in the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements for further information. See *Provision for Loan Losses* section below for additional details regarding loan loss provision expense and reversals.

Liquidity and Funding Sources

AgFirst and the District Associations maintain adequate liquidity to satisfy the District's daily cash needs. Along with normal cash flows associated with lending operations, the District has two primary sources of liquidity: the capacity to issue Systemwide Debt Securities through the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation; and cash and investments. The Bank also maintains several lines of credit with commercial banks, as well as securities repurchase agreement facilities. Providing liquidity for the District's operations is primarily the responsibility of the Bank.

The U.S. government does not guarantee, directly or indirectly, Systemwide Debt Securities. However, the Farm Credit System, as a GSE, has benefited from broad access to the domestic and global capital markets. This access has provided the System with a dependable source of competitively priced debt which is critical for supporting the System's mission of providing credit to agriculture and rural America. The implied link between the credit rating of the System and the U.S. government, given the System's status as a GSE and continued concerns regarding the government's borrowing limit and budget imbalances, could pose risk to the System in the future.

AgFirst's primary source of liquidity comes from its ability to issue Systemwide Debt Securities, which are the general unsecured joint and several obligations of the System banks. AgFirst continually raises funds in the debt markets to support its mission, to repay maturing Systemwide Debt Securities, and to meet other obligations.

The System does not have a guaranteed line of credit from the U.S. Treasury or the Federal Reserve. However, the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (FCSIC) has an agreement with the Federal Financing Bank (FFB), a federal instrumentality subject to the supervision and direction of the U.S. Treasury, pursuant to which the FFB could advance funds to the FCSIC. Under its existing statutory authority, the FCSIC may use these funds to provide assistance to the System banks in exigent market circumstances which threaten the banks' ability to pay maturing debt obligations. The agreement provides for advances of up to $10 billion and terminates on September 30, 2016, unless otherwise renewed. The decision whether to seek funds from the FFB is at the discretion of the FCSIC. Each funding obligation of the FFB is subject to various terms and conditions and, as a result, there can be no assurance that funding would be available if needed by AgFirst or the System.

Currently, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (S&P), Moody's Investor Service and Fitch Ratings have assigned long-term debt ratings for the System of AA+, Aaa, and AAA and short-term debt ratings of A-1+, P-1, and F1, respectively. All three ratings agencies' outlook for the System is stable. The S&P rating of the System's long-term debt reflects a previous downgrade of the U.S. sovereign rating. These rating agencies base their ratings on many quantitative and qualitative factors, including the System's status as a GSE. Negative changes to the System's credit ratings could reduce earnings by increasing debt funding costs, and could also have a material adverse effect on liquidity, the ability to conduct normal business operations, and the Bank's overall financial condition and results of operations. However, AgFirst anticipates continued access to funding necessary to support the District's needs.

On September 25, 2015, S&P affirmed the Bank's AA-/A-1+ long- and short-term issuer credit ratings, the stand-alone credit profile of a+ and the BBB+ preferred stock rating. S&P revised their outlook on the Bank to negative from stable, which reflects emerging trends that, if continued, could eventually lead to a ratings downgrade. S&P attributed this outlook change to their assessment of the Bank's capital position. The Bank remains capitalized well above FCA minimum requirements (see *Regulatory Capital Ratios* section below) and Bank management anticipates no impact in the Bank's ability to access funding as needed. Bank management has engaged S&P in on-going dialogue to provide information regarding the strength of the Bank's capital position. Ratings and outlook for AgFirst by Fitch Ratings remain unchanged.

At September 30, 2015, AgFirst had $27.430 billion in total debt outstanding compared to $26.847 billion at December 31, 2014. Total interest-bearing liabilities increased slightly primarily due to higher funding needs related to increases in loans as discussed elsewhere in this report.

Cash and cash equivalents, which increased $94.4 million from December 31, 2014 to a total of $990.6 million at September 30, 2015, consist primarily of cash on deposit and money market securities that are short-term in nature (from overnight maturities to maturities that range up to 90 days). Incremental movements in cash balances are due primarily to changes in liquidity needs in relation to upcoming debt maturities between reporting periods.

Investment securities totaled $7.425 billion, or 21.64 percent of total assets at September 30, 2015, compared to $7.543 billion, or 22.67 percent, as of December 31, 2014. Investment securities decreased $118.1 million (1.57 percent), compared to December 31, 2014. Management maintains the available-for-sale liquidity investment portfolio size generally proportionate with that of the loan portfolio and within regulatory and policy guidelines. In March 2015, the Bank sold 204 agency mortgage-backed securities totaling $28.0 million which resulted in gains of $1.1 million. The proceeds were used to purchase one U.S. government guaranteed security. These transactions benefitted the Bank by reducing carrying costs and improving liquidity.

Investment securities classified as being available-for-sale totaled $6.725 billion at September 30, 2015. Available-for-sale investments at September 30, 2015 included $3.715 billion in U.S. government guaranteed securities, $2.193 billion in U.S. government agency guaranteed securities, $682.4 million in non-agency asset-backed securities, and $133.9 million in non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations. Since the majority of the portfolio is invested in U.S. government guaranteed and agency securities, the portfolio is highly liquid and potential credit loss exposure is limited.

As of September 30, 2015, AgFirst exceeded all applicable regulatory liquidity requirements. FCA regulations require that the Bank have a liquidity policy that establishes a minimum total "coverage" level of 90 days and that short-term liquidity requirements must be met by certain high quality investments or cash. "Coverage" is defined as the number of days that maturing debt could be funded with eligible cash, cash equivalents, and available-for-sale investments maintained by the Bank.

The FCA classifies eligible liquidity investments according to four liquidity quality levels with level 1 being the highest. The first 15 days of minimum liquidity coverage are met using only level 1 instruments, which include cash and cash equivalents. Days 16 through 30 of minimum liquidity coverage are met using level 1 and level 2 instruments. Level 2 consists primarily of U.S. government guaranteed securities. Days 31 through 90 are met using level 1, level 2, and level 3 securities. Level 3 consists primarily of U.S. agency investments. The fourth level is a supplemental liquidity buffer in excess of the 90-day minimum liquidity reserve which is set to provide coverage to at least 120 days.

At September 30, 2015, AgFirst met each of the individual level criteria above and had a total of 209 days of maturing debt coverage compared to 222 days at December 31, 2014. As previously discussed, this decrease resulted from a change in the level of upcoming maturing debt. Cash provided by the Bank's operating activities, primarily generated from net interest income in excess of operating expenses and maturities in the loan portfolio, is an additional source of liquidity for the Bank that is not reflected in the coverage calculation.

Net unrealized gains related to investment securities were $91.8 million at September 30, 2015, compared to $108.9 million at December 31, 2014. These net unrealized gains are reflected in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) in the Financial Statements. The net unrealized gains stem from normal market factors such as the current interest rate environment.

The District performs periodic credit reviews, including other-than-temporary impairment analyses, on its entire investment securities portfolio. Based on the results of all analyses, the District recognized other-than-temporary credit related impairment of $1.6 million for both the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and the nine months ended September 30, 2014 which was included in Net Other-than-temporary Impairment Losses in the Statements of Income.

Investment impairment expense of $1.2 million related to re-performer bonds was recorded in September 2015. Re-performer bonds are backed by seasoned collateral, which had become delinquent loans at some point prior to securitization and had been modified in order to get the borrower current again. Each underlying loan of the bond pool has FHA, VA or RHA insurance but none of these insurances cover 100 percent which exposes the bond pool to some losses. Increased loss severities and probabilities of default have recently been noted in this particular type of legacy fixed rate mortgage bond. The Bank held eight re-performer bonds with a total par value of $64.5 million at September 30, 2015. Previous impairment of $891 thousand had been recorded for these eight re-performers and $47 thousand of actual payment losses had been incurred.

If there is a significant increase in collected or expected cash flows of a previously impaired security, the Bank accretes those additional amounts to interest income, as appropriate, over the remaining life of the security in a prospective manner, based on the difference between the amortized cost basis and the cash flows expected to be collected. The District recognized additional interest income of $1.7 million and $618 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. See Note 3, *Investments*, in the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements for further information.

Capital Resources

Total shareholders' equity increased $359.1 million (6.65 percent) from December 31, 2014 to a total of $5.761 billion at September 30, 2015. This increase is primarily attributed to 2015 unallocated retained earnings from net income of $416.8 million offset by retained earnings retired of $64.1 million.

On August 6, 2015, the Bank repurchased, through a privately negotiated transaction, and subsequently cancelled Class B Perpetual Non-Cumulative Fixed-to-Floating Rate Subordinated Preferred Stock with a par value of $10.3 million. The effect of the repurchase on shareholders' equity was to reduce preferred stock outstanding by $10.3 million and increase additional paid-in capital by $3.4 million.

After considering the Bank's favorable earnings to date in 2015, strong capital levels, and projected capital needs, on October 19, 2015, the Bank's Board of Directors declared a special patronage distribution totaling $100.0 million to be paid January 1, 2016. See Note 12, *Subsequent Events*, in the Notes to the Financial Statements.

On September 4, 2014, the FCA published a proposed rule to modify the regulatory capital requirements for System banks and associations. See *Regulatory Matters* section below for further discussion on the proposed rule.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2015 was $145.5 million compared to $169.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, a decrease of $23.6 million, or 13.93 percent. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 was $416.8 million, compared to $471.6 million for the corresponding period in 2014, a decrease of $54.7 million, or 11.61 percent. See below for further discussion of change in net income by major components.

Key Results of Operations Comparisons

	Annualized for the nine months ended September 30, 2015	For the year ended December 31, 2014	Annualized for the nine months ended September 30, 2014
Return on average assets	1.67%	1.96%	1.98%
Return on average shareholders' equity	10.53%	11.85%	12.04%
Net interest margin	3.11%	3.32%	3.33%
Operating expense as a percentage of net interest income and noninterest income	46.03%	42.35%	42.14%
Net (charge-offs) recoveries to average loans	0.01%	–%	0.01%

The first three ratios above have declined in 2015 primarily due to a decrease in net interest income. For the operating expense as a percentage of net interest income and noninterest income ratio, operating expense consists primarily of noninterest expense excluding losses (gains) from other property owned. This ratio also was negatively impacted by the decline in net interest income as well as an increase in operating expenses. See *Allowance for Loan Losses*, *Net Interest Income*, *Noninterest Income*, and *Noninterest Expenses* sections for further discussion.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2015 was $254.0 million compared to $261.3 million for the same period of 2014, a decrease of $7.4 million or 2.82 percent. For the nine months ended September 30, 2015, net interest income was $752.2 million compared to $768.8 million for the same period of 2014, a decrease of $16.7 million, or 2.17 percent. The net interest margin, which is net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets, was 3.07 percent and 3.11 percent, a decrease of 23 and 22 basis points, respectively, for the three and nine month periods of 2015 compared to the prior year. The decline for both periods was primarily the result of lower yields on interest-earning assets, due to the lower interest rate environment for interest-earning assets and the impact of competitive pressures on loan yields, and higher rates paid on interest bearing liabilities. Over time, as interest rates change and as assets prepay or reprice, the positive impact on the net interest margin that the Bank has experienced over the last several years from calling debt will continue to diminish. The positive impact of higher average balances of earning assets partially offset some of the decline in net interest income.

The effects of changes in volume and interest rates on net interest income in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, as compared with the same periods of 2014, are presented in the following table. The table distinguishes between the changes in interest income and interest expense related to average outstanding balances and to the levels of average interest rates. Accordingly, the benefit derived from funding earning assets with interest-free funds (principally capital) is reflected solely as a volume increase.

	For the three months ended September 30, 2015 vs. September 30, 2014			**For the nine months ended September 30, 2015 vs. September 30, 2014**		
	Increase (decrease) due to changes in:			**Increase (decrease) due to changes in:**		
(dollars in thousands)	**Volume**	**Rate**	**Total**	**Volume**	**Rate**	**Total**
Interest Income:						
Loans	$ 13,397	$ (4,586)	$ 8,811	$ 36,578	$ (15,379)	$ 21,199
Investments & Cash Equivalents	795	(3,524)	(2,729)	5,055	(15,397)	(10,342)
Total Interest Income	$ 14,192	$ (8,110)	$ 6,082	$ 41,633	$ (30,776)	$ 10,857
Interest Expense:						
Interest-Bearing Liabilities	$ 2,874	$ 10,574	$ 13,448	$ 7,590	$ 19,927	$ 27,517
Changes in Net Interest Income	$ 11,318	$ (18,684)	$ (7,366)	$ 34,043	$ (50,703)	$ (16,660)

Provision for Loan Losses

AgFirst and the Associations measure risks inherent in their individual loan portfolios on an ongoing basis and, as necessary, recognize provision for loan loss expense so that appropriate allowances for loan losses are maintained. Provision for loan losses was a net reversal (recovery) of $3.1 million and a net expense of $2.0 million for the three and nine months periods ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to a net reversal (recovery) of $4.7 million and $7.3 million for three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. For the three months ended September 30, 2015, the net reversal (recovery) consisted of $9.0 million of specific reserve reversals partially offset by $5.8 million of general reserve expense. For the third quarter of 2015, the net provision reversals were comprised primarily of provision reversals related to the forestry ($4.9 million), nursery/greenhouse ($3.8 million), processing ($2.7 million), and cattle ($1.5 million) segments, partially offset by net provision expense related to the field crops ($3.2 million), grain ($2.0 million), and poultry ($1.6 million) segments. For the nine months ended September 30, 2015, the net provision expense consisted of $13.3 million of general reserve expense partially offset by $11.3 million of specific reserve reversals. The net provision expense for the nine month period was comprised primarily of provision expense related to the field crops ($5.8 million), grain ($4.3 million), poultry ($2.2 million), corn ($2.0 million), non-farm income ($1.9 million), and dairy ($1.6 million) segments, partially offset by net provision reversals in the nursery/greenhouse ($6.1 million), forestry ($5.9 million), and cattle ($3.3 million) segments. See Note 2, *Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses*, in the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements for further information.

Noninterest Income

The following table illustrates the changes in noninterest income:

Change in Noninterest Income	**For the three months ended September 30,**			**For the nine months ended September 30,**		
			Increase/			**Increase/**
(dollars in thousands)	**2015**	**2014**	**(Decrease)**	**2015**	**2014**	**(Decrease)**
Loan fees	$ 6,662	$ 6,455	$ 207	$ 21,163	$ 21,251	$ (88)
Fees for financially related services	3,103	3,324	(221)	6,169	6,017	152
Building lease income	856	891	(35)	2,700	2,584	116
Net impairment losses on investments	(1,191)	(114)	(1,077)	(1,575)	(1,566)	(9)
Gains (losses) on investments, net	–	–	–	1,126	149	977
Gains (losses) on called debt	(1,760)	(506)	(1,254)	(7,745)	(5,721)	(2,024)
Gains (losses) on other transactions	(327)	1,527	(1,854)	1,059	4,719	(3,660)
Other noninterest income	488	557	(69)	4,156	4,428	(272)
Total noninterest income	$ 7,831	$ 12,134	$ (4,303)	$ 27,053	$ 31,861	$ (4,808)

Noninterest income decreased $4.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015 compared to the corresponding period in 2014. The decrease was primarily due to higher losses on called debt and impairment losses on investments and lower gains on other transactions, primarily retirement plan trust assets. Noninterest income decreased

$4.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to the corresponding period in 2014 primarily due to lower gains on other transactions, primarily reserve expense for unfunded commitments and retirement plan trust assets, and higher losses on called debt, partially offset by higher gains on investments.

Loan fees increased $207 thousand and decreased $88 thousand for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the same period in the prior year. For the three month periods, the increase resulted primarily from a $371 thousand increase in fee income from the first lien residential mortgage portfolio partially offset by a decline of $200 thousand in fee income on loan participations. The decrease for the nine month periods was primarily due to a decrease of $924 thousand in fee income on loan participations partially offset by a $740 thousand increase in fee income from the first lien residential mortgage portfolio. For both periods, the decrease in fee income on participation loans resulted from competitive market conditions. The increase in fee income on first lien residential mortgage loans, primarily in origination, underwriting, and servicing fees, resulted from higher balances in this portfolio.

The decrease of $221 thousand in fees for financially related services for the three month periods was primarily due to a decrease of $80 thousand in tax and consulting service fees and a $39 thousand decrease in crop and multi-peril insurance. For the nine month periods, the increase of $152 thousand in fees for financially related services resulted from an increase in fee income from crop and multi-peril insurance of $166 thousand.

Building lease income decreased $35 thousand and increased $116 thousand for the three and nine month periods, respectively. The increase in the nine month periods was due to lease renegotiations in the second quarter of 2014 which resulted in higher rent received in 2015 from tenants of the Bank office building.

Net impairment losses on investments were $1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015 compared to $114 thousand for the same period of 2014. The losses in third quarter 2015 were due to impairment losses recorded on two investment securities resulting from a deterioration in the credit quality of mortgage loans which collateralize these investments. Net impairment losses were $9 thousand lower for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 than the same period in the prior year. See the *Liquidity and Funding Sources* section above and Note 3, *Investments*, in the Notes to the Financial Statements for further information.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2015 gains on investments increased $977 thousand compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2014. In March 2015, the Bank sold 204 agency mortgage-backed securities totaling $28.0 million which resulted in gains of $1.1 million. The proceeds were used to purchase one U.S. government guaranteed security. These transactions benefitted the Bank by reducing carrying costs and improving liquidity. See discussion of investments in *Liquidity and Funding Sources* section above and in Note 3, *Investments*, in the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements for further information.

Debt issuance expense is amortized over the life of the underlying debt security. When debt securities are called prior to maturity, any unamortized issuance cost is expensed. Losses on called debt increased $1.3 million and $2.0 million for the three and nine month periods ending September 30, 2015, respectively. Call options were exercised on bonds totaling $1.465 billion and $4.869 billion for the three and nine month periods, respectively, compared to $501.0 million and $5.652 billion for the same periods in 2014. Debt is called to take advantage of favorable market interest rate changes. The amount of debt issuance cost expensed is dependent upon both the volume and remaining maturity of the debt when called. The called debt expense is more than offset by interest expense savings realized as called debt is replaced by new debt issued at a lower rate of interest. Over time, the favorable effect on net interest income is diminished as earning assets reprice downward.

For the three and nine month periods, gains on other transactions decreased $1.9 million and $3.7 million, respectively. The decline was primarily due to higher losses on certain retirement plan trust assets of $1.5 million and $1.6 million and higher reserve expense for unfunded commitments of $224 thousand and $2.0 million for the three and nine month periods, respectively. Changes in the reserve for unfunded commitments result from fluctuations in both the balance and composition of unfunded commitments between periods. The higher losses on retirement plan trust assets resulted from a decline in the market value of trust assets in 2015.

Other noninterest income decreased $69 thousand and $272 thousand for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year. The decrease for the three month and nine months periods resulted primarily from a decrease in patronage received from other Farm Credit Institutions. The decline for the nine month periods was also impacted by decreases in numerous and varied income items, none of which individually had a significant decrease.

Noninterest Expenses

The following table illustrates the changes in noninterest expense:

Change in Noninterest Expenses	**For the three months ended September 30,**			**For the nine months ended September 30,**		
			Increase/			**Increase/**
(dollars in thousands)	**2015**	**2014**	**(Decrease)**	**2015**	**2014**	**(Decrease)**
Salaries and employee benefits	$ 73,903	$ 67,057	$ 6,846	$ 225,967	$ 206,460	$ 19,507
Occupancy and equipment	10,445	10,162	283	30,186	30,082	104
Insurance Fund premiums	7,438	6,420	1,018	21,599	18,533	3,066
Other operating expenses	26,963	27,161	(198)	80,958	82,366	(1,408)
Losses (gains) from other property owned	719	(2,329)	3,048	859	(2,457)	3,316
Total noninterest expenses	$ 119,468	$ 108,471	$ 10,997	$ 359,569	$ 334,984	$ 24,585

Noninterest expense increased $11.0 million and $24.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the corresponding period in 2014. For both periods, the increase was due primarily to an increase in salaries and employee benefits, higher losses on other property owned, and an increase in insurance fund premiums, partially offset by a decrease in other operating expenses.

Salaries and employee benefits increased $6.8 million and $19.5 million for the three and nine month periods, respectively. For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2015 compared to the same periods in 2014, this increase resulted primarily from a $1.9 million and $5.1 million increase, respectively, in salaries due mainly to normal salary administration and a $4.5 million and $13.7 million increase, respectively, in pension and other postretirement benefits expenses. The higher pension and other postretirement expenses in 2015 resulted primarily from a decrease in the discount rate in 2015 used to calculate net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit costs as well as from the adoption of updated mortality tables reflecting increases in life expectancy.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased $283 thousand and $104 thousand for the three and nine month periods in 2015 compared to the same periods in 2014, respectively. The increase for both periods was primarily the result of higher hardware and software costs incurred in the third quarter of 2015.

Insurance Fund premiums increased $1.0 million and $3.1 million for the three and nine month periods in 2015, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2014. This increase resulted primarily from an increase in the base annual premium rate and a change in the composition of the Bank’s investment portfolio. The base annual premium rate was increased to 13 basis points in 2015 from 12 basis points in 2014. The FCSIC Board makes premium rate adjustments, as necessary, to maintain the secure base amount which is based upon insured debt outstanding at System banks. The Bank’s investment portfolio in 2015 has reflected a reduction in federally guaranteed investments and an increase in GSE guaranteed and other investments compared to 2014, resulting in less of the investment portfolio balance excluded from the insurance premium calculation.

Other operating expenses decreased $198 thousand and $1.4 million for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2014. These declines resulted from decreases of $278 thousand and $1.3 million in nonaccrual loan period costs, primarily legal fees and property taxes, for the three and nine month periods, respectively. The decrease for the three month periods was also impacted by decreases of $291 thousand in supervisory and examination fees, partially offset by an increase in consulting and service provider fees required for system enhancements of $165 thousand.

Gains on other property owned decreased $3.0 million and $3.3 million for the three and nine month periods in 2015, respectively, compared with the same period in the prior year. Both the three and nine months periods reflected lower gains on sale of OPO of $3.0 million and $5.6 million, respectively. For the nine month periods, lower net expenses on OPO of $2.3 million offset some of the decrease. See *Other Property Owned* section above for further information.

REGULATORY MATTERS

On July 25, 2014, the FCA published a proposed rule in the Federal Register to revise the requirements governing the eligibility of investments for System banks and associations. The public comment period ended on October 23, 2014. The stated objectives of the proposed rule are as follows:

- To strengthen the safety and soundness of System banks and associations.
- To ensure that System banks hold sufficient liquidity to continue operations and pay maturing obligations in the event of market disruption.
- To enhance the ability of the System banks to supply credit to agricultural and aquatic producers.
- To comply with the requirements of section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act).
- To modernize the investment eligibility criteria for System banks.
- To revise the investment regulation for System associations to improve their investment management practices so they are more resilient to risk.

On September 4, 2014, the FCA published a proposed rule in the Federal Register to modify the regulatory capital requirements for System banks and associations. The initial public comment period ended on February 16, 2015. On June 15, 2015, the Farm Credit Administration reopened the comment period from June 26 to July 10, 2015. The stated objectives of the proposed rule are as follows:

- To modernize capital requirements while ensuring that institutions continue to hold sufficient regulatory capital to fulfill their mission as a government-sponsored enterprise.
- To ensure that the System's capital requirements are comparable to the Basel III framework and the standardized approach that the federal banking regulatory agencies have adopted, but also to ensure that the rules recognize the cooperative structure and the organization of the System.
- To make System regulatory capital requirements more transparent.
- To meet the requirements of section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act.

FINANCIAL REGULATORY REFORM

See discussion of the Dodd-Frank Act in the Financial Regulatory Reform section of the 2014 Annual Report of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank and District Associations.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Please refer to Note 1, *Organization, Significant Accounting Policies, and Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements*, in the Notes to the Combined Financial Statements, and the 2014 Annual Report of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank and District Associations for recently issued accounting pronouncements.

NOTE: Shareholder investment in a District Association is materially affected by the financial condition and results of operations of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank. Copies of AgFirst's annual and quarterly reports are available upon request free of charge by calling 1-800-845-1745, ext. 2832, or writing Susanne Caughman, Reporting Manager, AgFirst Farm Credit Bank, P.O. Box 1499, Columbia, SC 29202. Combined information concerning AgFirst Farm Credit Bank and District Associations can also be obtained at the Bank's website, *www.agfirst.com*. AgFirst prepares a quarterly report within 40 days after the end of each fiscal quarter, except that no quarterly report need be prepared for the fiscal quarter that coincides with the end of the fiscal year of the institution.

Combined Balance Sheets

(dollars in thousands)	**September 30, 2015**	**December 31, 2014**
	(unaudited)	*(audited)*
Assets		
Cash	**$ 771,839**	$ 671,342
Cash equivalents	**218,718**	224,847
Investment securities:		
Available for sale (amortized cost of $6,633,849 and $6,646,772, respectively)	**6,724,726**	6,754,419
Held to maturity (fair value of $724,147 and $819,047, respectively)	**700,503**	788,939
Total investment securities	**7,425,229**	7,543,358
Loans held for sale	**15,306**	7,185
Loans	**25,451,904**	24,415,969
Allowance for loan losses	**(179,470)**	(174,853)
Net loans	**25,272,434**	24,241,116
Accrued interest receivable	**234,280**	184,705
Accounts receivable	**41,224**	64,218
Investments in other Farm Credit System institutions	**29,684**	28,885
Other investments	**80**	251
Premises and equipment, net	**188,674**	190,833
Other property owned	**50,840**	45,986
Other assets	**65,087**	69,241
Total assets	**$ 34,313,395**	$ 33,271,967
Liabilities		
Systemwide bonds payable	**$ 22,481,486**	$ 22,814,656
Systemwide notes payable	**5,393,965**	4,243,708
Accrued interest payable	**56,474**	47,528
Accounts payable	**95,595**	230,196
Advanced conditional payments	**6,340**	8,468
Other liabilities	**518,084**	525,052
Total liabilities	**28,551,944**	27,869,608
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Shareholders' Equity		
Perpetual preferred stock	**115,000**	125,250
Protected borrower equity	**612**	655
Capital stock and participation certificates	**167,776**	154,471
Additional paid-in-capital	**63,678**	60,270
Retained earnings		
Allocated	**1,761,613**	1,818,123
Unallocated	**3,939,836**	3,540,901
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	**(287,064)**	(297,311)
Total shareholders' equity	**5,761,451**	5,402,359
Total liabilities and equity	**$ 34,313,395**	$ 33,271,967

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined financial statements.

Combined Statements of Income

(unaudited)

	For the three months ended September 30,		For the nine months ended September 30,	
(dollars in thousands)	**2015**	**2014**	**2015**	**2014**
Interest Income				
Investments	**$ 29,319**	$ 32,048	**$ 90,455**	$100,797
Loans	**289,461**	280,650	**845,606**	824,407
Total interest income	**318,780**	312,698	**936,061**	925,204
Interest Expense	**64,824**	51,376	**183,880**	156,363
Net interest income	**253,956**	261,322	**752,181**	768,841
Provision for (reversal of allowance for) loan losses	**(3,136)**	(4,678)	**1,969**	(7,338)
Net interest income after provision for (reversal of allowance for) loan losses	**257,092**	266,000	**750,212**	776,179
Noninterest Income				
Loan fees	**6,662**	6,455	**21,163**	21,251
Fees for financially related services	**3,103**	3,324	**6,169**	6,017
Building lease income	**856**	891	**2,700**	2,584
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	**—**	(60)	**(171)**	(134)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income	**(1,191)**	(54)	**(1,404)**	(1,432)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses	**(1,191)**	(114)	**(1,575)**	(1,566)
Gains (losses) on investments, net	**—**	—	**1,126**	149
Gains (losses) on called debt	**(1,760)**	(506)	**(7,745)**	(5,721)
Gains (losses) on other transactions	**(327)**	1,527	**1,059**	4,719
Other noninterest income	**488**	557	**4,156**	4,428
Total noninterest income	**7,831**	12,134	**27,053**	31,861
Noninterest Expenses				
Salaries and employee benefits	**73,903**	67,057	**225,967**	206,460
Occupancy and equipment	**10,445**	10,162	**30,186**	30,082
Insurance Fund premiums	**7,438**	6,420	**21,599**	18,533
Other operating expenses	**26,963**	27,161	**80,958**	82,366
Losses (gains) from other property owned	**719**	(2,329)	**859**	(2,457)
Total noninterest expenses	**119,468**	108,471	**359,569**	334,984
Income before income taxes	**145,455**	169,663	**417,696**	473,056
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	**(88)**	565	**849**	1,475
Net income	**$145,543**	$169,098	**$416,847**	$471,581

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined financial statements.

Combined Statements of Comprehensive Income

(unaudited)

	For the three months ended September 30,		For the nine months ended September 30,	
(dollars in thousands)	**2015**	**2014**	**2015**	**2014**
Net income	**$ 145,543**	$ 169,098	**$ 416,847**	$ 471,581
Other comprehensive income net of tax:				
Unrealized gains (losses) on investments:				
Other-than-temporarily impaired	**1,244**	5,145	**2,733**	14,566
Not other-than-temporarily impaired	**(4,628)**	(3,442)	**(19,812)**	(2,233)
Change in value of cash flow hedges	**(62)**	(214)	**(330)**	(668)
Employee benefit plans adjustments	**9,219**	5,096	**27,656**	15,289
Other comprehensive income (Note 5)	**5,773**	6,585	**10,247**	26,954
Comprehensive income	**$ 151,316**	$ 175,683	**$ 427,094**	$ 498,535

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined financial statements.

Combined Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

(unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	Perpetual Preferred Stock	Protected Borrower Equity	Capital Stock and Participation Certificates	Additional Paid-in-Capital	Retained Earnings		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Shareholders' Equity
					Allocated	Unallocated		
Balance at December 31, 2013	$ 125,250	$ 901	$ 156,382	$ 60,270	$ 1,693,689	$ 3,313,471	$ (175,289)	$ 5,174,674
Comprehensive income						471,581	26,954	498,535
Protected borrower equity retired		(84)						(84)
Capital stock/participation certificates issued (retired), net			4,283					4,283
Dividends declared/paid			338			(485)		(147)
Dividends paid on perpetual preferred stock						(1,297)		(1,297)
Cash patronage distribution						(14,250)		(14,250)
Retained earnings retired					(70,587)	67		(70,520)
Patronage distribution adjustment			(5)		610	(738)		(133)
Balance at September 30, 2014	$ 125,250	$ 817	$ 160,998	$ 60,270	$ 1,623,712	$ 3,768,349	$ (148,335)	$ 5,591,061
Balance at December 31, 2014	$ 125,250	$ 655	$ 154,471	$ 60,270	$ 1,818,123	$ 3,540,901	$ (297,311)	$ 5,402,359
Comprehensive income						**416,847**	**10,247**	**427,094**
Protected borrower equity retired		**(43)**						**(43)**
Capital stock/participation certificates issued (retired), net			**12,929**					**12,929**
Dividends declared/paid			**376**			**(517)**		**(141)**
Redemption of perpetual preferred stock (Note 5)	**(10,250)**			**3,408**				**(6,842)**
Dividends paid on perpetual preferred stock						**(1,316)**		**(1,316)**
Cash patronage distribution						**(9,000)**		**(9,000)**
Retained earnings retired					**(64,145)**	**61**		**(64,084)**
Patronage distribution adjustment					**7,635**	**(7,140)**		**495**
Balance at September 30, 2015	**$ 115,000**	**$ 612**	**$ 167,776**	**$ 63,678**	**$ 1,761,613**	**$ 3,939,836**	**$ (287,064)**	**$ 5,761,451**

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined financial statements.

Combined Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

	For the nine months ended September 30,	
(dollars in thousands)	**2015**	**2014**
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	**$ 416,847**	$ 471,581
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation on premises and equipment	**14,222**	13,754
Amortization of net deferred loan (fees) costs and premium amortization (discount accretion)	**(2,240)**	(2,817)
Premium amortization (discount accretion) on investment securities	**6,559**	7,552
(Premium amortization) discount accretion on bonds and notes	**5,796**	4,881
Amortization (accretion) of yield mark resulting from merger	**(1,521)**	(2,137)
Provision for (reversal of allowance for) loan losses	**1,969**	(7,338)
(Gains) losses on other property owned	**407**	(5,160)
Net impairment losses on investments	**1,575**	1,566
(Gains) losses on investments, net	**(1,126)**	(149)
(Gains) losses on other transactions	**(1,059)**	(4,719)
Net change in loans held for sale	**2,183**	7,166
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable	**(49,575)**	(45,481)
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	**22,994**	(5,424)
(Increase) decrease in other assets	**(3,812)**	(1,691)
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable	**8,946**	(7,383)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	**33,782**	9,669
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	**18,686**	41,034
Total adjustments	**57,786**	3,323
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	**474,633**	474,904
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Investment securities purchased	**(1,309,686)**	(1,271,526)
Proceeds from investment securities sold or matured	**1,403,631**	1,079,412
Net (increase) decrease in loans	**(1,066,491)**	(879,551)
(Increase) decrease in investments in other Farm Credit System institutions	**(799)**	(746)
Proceeds from payments received on other investments	**—**	83,954
Purchase of premises and equipment, net	**(12,661)**	(32,513)
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment, net	**1,517**	1,511
Proceeds from sale of other property owned	**23,309**	50,137
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	**(961,180)**	(969,322)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Bonds and notes issued	**18,187,766**	17,334,234
Bonds and notes retired	**(17,368,338)**	(17,089,398)
Net increase (decrease) in advanced conditional payments	**(2,128)**	(842)
Protected borrower equity retired	**(43)**	(84)
Capital stock and participation certificates issued/retired, net	**12,929**	4,283
Patronage refunds and dividends paid	**(177,029)**	(151,423)
Redemption of perpetual preferred stock (Note 5)	**(6,842)**	—
Dividends paid on perpetual preferred stock	**(1,316)**	(1,297)
Retained earnings retired	**(64,084)**	(70,520)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	**580,915**	24,953
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	**94,368**	(469,465)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	**896,189**	1,230,374
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	**$ 990,557**	$ 760,909
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Financed sales of other property owned	**$ 2,827**	$ 2,726
Receipt of property in settlement of loans	**31,397**	33,748
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments, net	**(17,079)**	12,333
Employee benefit plans adjustments	**(27,656)**	(15,289)
Non-cash changes related to interest rate hedging activities:		
Increase (decrease) in bonds and notes	**$ (7,966)**	$ (8,506)
Decrease (increase) in other assets	**7,966**	8,506
Supplemental information:		
Interest paid	**$ 169,309**	$ 159,172
Taxes paid, net	**646**	1,551

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined financial statements.

Notes to the Combined Financial Statements

(unaudited)

Note 1 — Organization, Significant Accounting Policies, and Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Organization

The accompanying combined financial statements include the accounts of AgFirst Farm Credit Bank (AgFirst or Bank) and its related Agricultural Credit Associations (Associations or District Associations), collectively referred to as the AgFirst District (District). A complete description of the organization and operations, the significant accounting policies followed, and the financial condition and results of operations of the District as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014 are contained in the 2014 Annual Report to Shareholders. These unaudited interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the latest Annual Report to Shareholders.

Basis of Presentation

In the opinion of management, the accompanying combined financial statements contain all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of results for the periods presented. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature, unless otherwise disclosed.

Certain amounts in the prior period's combined financial statements may have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on the prior period net income or total capital as previously reported.

The results of any interim period are not necessarily indicative of those to be expected for a full year.

Significant Accounting Policies

The District's accounting and reporting policies conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and practices in the financial services industry. To prepare the financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management must make estimates based on assumptions about future economic and market conditions (for example, unemployment, market liquidity, real estate prices, etc.) that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, income and expenses during the reporting period, and the related disclosures. Although these estimates contemplate current conditions and expectations of change in the future, it is reasonably possible that actual conditions may be different than anticipated, which could materially affect results of operations and financial condition.

Management has made significant estimates in several areas, including loans and allowance for loan losses (Note 2, *Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses*), investment securities and other-than-temporary impairment (Note 3, *Investments*), and financial instruments (Note 6, *Fair Value Measurement*). Actual results could differ from those estimates.

For further details of significant accounting policies, see Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, from the latest Annual Report.

Accounting Standards Updates (ASUs) Issued During the Period

The following ASUs were issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) since the most recent Annual Report:

- 2015-16 Business Combinations – In September, 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16 Business Combinations (Topic 805): Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments. The amendments in this Update require that an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined. The amendments require that the acquirer record, in the same period's financial statements, the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization, or other income effects, if any, as a result of the change to the provisional amounts, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. The amendments require an entity to present separately on the face of the income statement or disclose in the notes the portion of the amount recorded in current-period earnings by line item that would have been recorded in previous reporting periods if the adjustment to the provisional amounts had been recognized as of the acquisition date. For public business entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The amendments should be applied prospectively to adjustments to provisional amounts that occur after the effective date of this Update with earlier application permitted for financial statements that have not been issued. Application of this guidance is not expected to have an impact on the District's financial condition or results of operations.

- 2015-15 Interest – In August, 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15 Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements. The update adds Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) paragraphs pursuant to the SEC Staff Announcement at the June 18, 2015 Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) meeting about the presentation and subsequent measurement of debt issuance costs associated with line-of-credit arrangements.

- 2015-10 Technical Corrections and Improvements – In June, 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-10, Technical Corrections and Improvements (numerous Topics). The amendments in the Update represent changes to make minor corrections or minor improvements to the Codification that are not expected to have a significant effect on current accounting practice or create a significant administrative cost to most entities. The amendments that require transition guidance are effective for all entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. All other amendments were effective upon the issuance of the Update.

- 2015-07 Fair Value Measurement – In May, 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-07, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent). Topic 820 permits a reporting entity, as a practical expedient, to measure the fair value of certain investments using the net asset value per share of the investment. Currently, investments valued using the practical expedient are categorized within the fair value hierarchy on the basis of whether the investment is redeemable with the investee at net asset value on the measurement date, never redeemable with the investee at net asset value, or redeemable with the investee at net asset value at a future date. To address diversity in practice related to how certain investments measured at net asset value with future redemption dates are categorized, the amendments in this Update remove the requirement to categorize investments for which fair values are measured using the net asset value per share practical expedient. It also limits disclosures to investments for which the entity has elected to measure the fair value using the practical expedient. For public business entities, the guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is permitted. The guidance is to be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. Application of this guidance is not expected to have an impact on the District's financial condition or results of operations, but will require modifications to footnote disclosures.

- 2015-03 Imputation of Interest – In April, 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. To simplify presentation of debt issuance costs, the amendments in this Update require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. Prior to the Update, debt issuance costs were required to be presented in the balance sheet as a deferred charge (asset). The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs are not affected by the amendments. For public business entities, the amendments in this Update are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Based on the balance at September 30, 2015, this guidance would result in a reclassification of approximately $20.0 million from Other Assets to Systemwide Bonds Payable.

- Accounting Standards Update 2015-02 Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. The amendments in this Update affect reporting entities that are required to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities. All legal entities are subject to reevaluation under the revised consolidation model. Specifically, the amendments: 1. Modify the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are variable interest entities (VIEs) or voting interest entities, 2. Eliminate the presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership, 3. Affect the consolidation analysis of reporting entities that are involved with VIEs, particularly those that have fee arrangements and related party relationships, 4. Provide a scope exception from consolidation guidance for reporting entities with interests in legal entities that are required to comply with or operate in accordance with requirements that are similar to those in Rule 2a-7 of the Investment

Company Act of 1940 for registered money market funds. The amendments in this Update are effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. Application of this guidance is not expected to have an impact on the District's financial condition or results of operations.

ASUs Pending Effective Date

For a detailed description of the ASUs below, see the latest Annual Report to Shareholders.

Potential effects of ASUs issued in previous periods:

- ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date – In August, 2015, the FASB issued an update that defers by one year the effective date of ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The new ASU reflects decisions reached by the FASB at its meeting on July 9, 2015.

ASUs Effective During the Period

There were no changes in the accounting principles applied from the latest Annual Report, other than any discussed below. No recently adopted accounting guidance issued by the FASB had a significant effect on the current period reporting. See the most recent Annual Report for a detailed description of each of the ASUs below.

Impact of ASUs which became effective during the period:

- 2014-14 Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans – The District did not have a significant amount of loans that met the criteria of the guidance.

- 2014-11 Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions – The criteria of the standard were not significantly different from the District's policy in place at adoption.

- 2014-08 Discontinued Operations – The District has not had and does not anticipate any significant disposals.

- 2014-04 Reclassification of Consumer Mortgage Loans – The criteria of the standard were not significantly different from the District's policy in place at adoption. The amendment was adopted prospectively. See Note 2, *Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses*, for the additional disclosures required by this guidance.

Note 2 — Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

The District maintains an allowance for loan losses at a level considered adequate by management to provide for probable and estimable losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the report date. The allowance for loan losses is increased through provisions for loan losses and loan recoveries and is decreased through loan charge-offs and allowance reversals. A review of individual loans in each respective portfolio is performed periodically to determine the appropriateness of risk ratings and to ensure loss exposure to the District has been identified. See Note 3, *Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses*, from the latest Annual Report for further discussion.

Credit risk arises from the potential inability of an obligor to meet its repayment obligation. The District manages credit risk associated with lending activities through an assessment of the credit risk profile of an individual obligor. The Bank and each Association sets its own underwriting standards and lending policies that provide direction to loan officers and are approved by the boards of directors.

A summary of loans outstanding at period end follows:

(dollars in thousands)	**September 30, 2015**	**December 31, 2014**
Real estate mortgage	$ 11,592,261	$ 10,749,817
Production and intermediate-term	7,516,171	7,650,543
Loans to cooperatives	299,225	261,652
Processing and marketing	1,578,583	1,404,051
Farm-related business	459,602	410,026
Communication	405,171	356,825
Energy and water/waste disposal	460,935	468,589
Rural residential real estate	2,965,726	2,954,004
International	3,780	–
Lease receivables	3,455	4,945
Loans to other financing institutions (OFIs)	111,120	95,512
Other (including Mission Related)	55,875	60,005
Total Loans	$ 25,451,904	$ 24,415,969

The District may purchase or sell participation interests with other parties in order to diversify risk, manage loan volume, and comply with Farm Credit Administration (FCA) regulations. The following tables present the principal balance of participation loans at periods ended:

	September 30, 2015					
	Within Farm Credit System		**Outside Farm Credit System**		**Total**	
(dollars in thousands)	**Participations Purchased**	**Participations Sold**	**Participations Purchased**	**Participations Sold**	**Participations Purchased**	**Participations Sold**
Real estate mortgage	$ 251,673	$ 69,554	$ 56,630	$ 4,570	$ 308,303	$ 74,124
Production and intermediate-term	599,816	306,273	463,465	29,768	1,063,281	336,041
Loans to cooperatives	261,625	–	10,646	–	272,271	–
Processing and marketing	760,743	195,670	833,406	–	1,594,149	195,670
Farm-related business	106,423	11,802	140,850	38	247,273	11,840
Communication	406,395	–	–	–	406,395	–
Energy and water/waste disposal	456,344	–	6,234	–	462,578	–
Rural residential real estate	–	–	2,349	–	2,349	–
International	3,795	–	–	–	3,795	–
Lease receivables	1,661	–	–	–	1,661	–
Other (including Mission Related)	12,000	–	7,415	–	19,415	–
Total	$ 2,860,475	$ 583,299	$ 1,520,995	$ 34,376	$ 4,381,470	$ 617,675

	December 31, 2014					
	Within Farm Credit System		**Outside Farm Credit System**		**Total**	
(dollars in thousands)	**Participations Purchased**	**Participations Sold**	**Participations Purchased**	**Participations Sold**	**Participations Purchased**	**Participations Sold**
Real estate mortgage	$ 255,972	$ 41,303	$ 74,472	$ 4,530	$ 330,444	$ 45,833
Production and intermediate-term	582,468	366,108	469,793	34,034	1,052,261	400,142
Loans to cooperatives	226,648	–	10,993	–	237,641	–
Processing and marketing	600,381	186,418	839,640	–	1,440,021	186,418
Farm-related business	119,902	1,743	87,567	–	207,469	1,743
Communication	357,623	–	–	–	357,623	–
Energy and water/waste disposal	463,833	–	6,524	–	470,357	–
Rural residential real estate	–	–	2,261	–	2,261	–
Lease receivables	2,663	–	–	–	2,663	–
Other (including Mission Related)	12,000	–	7,623	–	19,623	–
Total	$ 2,621,490	$ 595,572	$ 1,498,873	$ 38,564	$ 4,120,363	$ 634,136

A significant source of liquidity for the District is the repayments of loans. The following table presents the contractual maturity distribution of loans by loan type at the latest period end:

	September 30, 2015			
(dollars in thousands)	**Due less than 1 year**	**Due 1 through 5 years**	**Due after 5 years**	**Total**
Real estate mortgage	$ 544,288	$ 2,562,272	$ 8,485,701	$ 11,592,261
Production and intermediate-term	2,308,015	3,288,904	1,919,252	7,516,171
Loans to cooperatives	83,240	144,160	71,825	299,225
Processing and marketing	108,443	723,471	746,669	1,578,583
Farm-related business	61,800	273,366	124,436	459,602
Communication	–	317,385	87,786	405,171
Energy and water/waste disposal	948	151,735	308,252	460,935
Rural residential real estate	51,413	67,318	2,846,995	2,965,726
International	–	3,780	–	3,780
Lease receivables	1,830	1,625	–	3,455
Loans to OFIs	101,120	10,000	–	111,120
Other (including Mission Related)	1,616	15,050	39,209	55,875
Total Loans	$ 3,262,713	$ 7,559,066	$ 14,630,125	$ 25,451,904
Percentage	12.82%	29.70%	57.48%	100.00%

The recorded investment in a receivable is the face amount increased or decreased by applicable accrued interest and unamortized premium or discount, finance charges, and acquisition costs and may also reflect a previous direct write-down of the investment.

The following table shows the recorded investment of loans, classified under the FCA Uniform Loan Classification System, as a percentage of the recorded investment of total loans by loan type as of:

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Real estate mortgage:		
Acceptable	94.43%	93.38%
OAEM	2.89	3.17
Substandard/doubtful/loss	2.68	3.45
	100.00%	100.00%
Production and intermediate-term:		
Acceptable	92.32%	92.66%
OAEM	3.93	3.86
Substandard/doubtful/loss	3.75	3.48
	100.00%	100.00%
Loans to cooperatives:		
Acceptable	99.66%	99.25%
OAEM	–	0.75
Substandard/doubtful/loss	0.34	–
	100.00%	100.00%
Processing and marketing:		
Acceptable	97.17%	97.72%
OAEM	0.97	0.50
Substandard/doubtful/loss	1.86	1.78
	100.00%	100.00%
Farm-related business:		
Acceptable	97.64%	98.05%
OAEM	0.08	1.31
Substandard/doubtful/loss	2.28	0.64
	100.00%	100.00%
Communication:		
Acceptable	97.72%	97.73%
OAEM	2.28	2.27
Substandard/doubtful/loss	–	–
	100.00%	100.00%

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Energy and water/waste disposal:		
Acceptable	88.68%	90.91%
OAEM	11.32	8.79
Substandard/doubtful/loss	–	0.30
	100.00%	100.00%
Rural residential real estate:		
Acceptable	98.96%	99.21%
OAEM	0.60	0.30
Substandard/doubtful/loss	0.44	0.49
	100.00%	100.00%
International:		
Acceptable	100.00%	–%
OAEM	–	–
Substandard/doubtful/loss	–	–
	100.00%	–%
Lease receivables:		
Acceptable	96.12%	96.72%
OAEM	3.31	2.66
Substandard/doubtful/loss	0.57	0.62
	100.00%	100.00%
Loans to OFIs:		
Acceptable	100.00%	100.00%
OAEM	–	–
Substandard/doubtful/loss	–	–
	100.00%	100.00%
Other (including Mission Related):		
Acceptable	98.32%	89.16%
OAEM	–	5.17
Substandard/doubtful/loss	1.68	5.67
	100.00%	100.00%
Total Loans:		
Acceptable	94.60%	94.28%
OAEM	2.85	2.92
Substandard/doubtful/loss	2.55	2.80
	100.00%	100.00%

The following tables provide an age analysis of the recorded investment in past due loans as of:

	September 30, 2015					
(dollars in thousands)	30 Through 89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Not Past Due or Less Than 30 Days Past Due	Total Loans	Recorded Investment 90 Days or More Past Due and Accruing Interest
Real estate mortgage	$ 54,043	$ 51,959	$ 106,002	$ 11,607,309	$ 11,713,311	$ 959
Production and intermediate-term	24,065	54,002	78,067	7,521,406	7,599,473	335
Loans to cooperatives	–	–	–	300,051	300,051	–
Processing and marketing	998	–	998	1,581,017	1,582,015	–
Farm-related business	174	331	505	460,968	461,473	–
Communication	–	–	–	405,650	405,650	–
Energy and water/waste disposal	–	–	–	462,794	462,794	–
Rural residential real estate	9,125	4,672	13,797	2,962,698	2,976,495	1,290
International	–	–	–	3,781	3,781	–
Lease receivables	–	9	9	3,452	3,461	–
Loans to OFIs	–	–	–	111,278	111,278	–
Other (including Mission Related)	–	–	–	56,410	56,410	–
Total	$ 88,405	$ 110,973	$ 199,378	$ 25,476,814	$ 25,676,192	$ 2,584

	December 31, 2014					
(dollars in thousands)	30 Through 89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Not Past Due or Less Than 30 Days Past Due	Total Loans	Recorded Investment 90 Days or More Past Due and Accruing Interest
Real estate mortgage	$ 60,519	$ 61,763	$ 122,282	$ 10,715,330	$ 10,837,612	$ 712
Production and intermediate-term	27,730	60,645	88,375	7,629,402	7,717,777	2,130
Loans to cooperatives	12	–	12	262,190	262,202	–
Processing and marketing	109	1,567	1,676	1,405,430	1,407,106	–
Farm-related business	116	631	747	410,725	411,472	–
Communication	–	–	–	357,083	357,083	–
Energy and water/waste disposal	–	–	–	470,614	470,614	–
Rural residential real estate	40,678	5,314	45,992	2,918,662	2,964,654	2,382
Lease receivables	–	15	15	4,940	4,955	–
Loans to OFIs	–	–	–	95,646	95,646	–
Other (including Mission Related)	779	2,632	3,411	57,226	60,637	–
Total	$ 129,943	$ 132,567	$ 262,510	$ 24,327,248	$ 24,589,758	$ 5,224

Nonperforming assets (including the recorded investment for loans) and related credit quality statistics are summarized as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Nonaccrual loans:		
Real estate mortgage	$ 129,381	$ 174,528
Production and intermediate-term	106,524	115,917
Processing and marketing	11	5,693
Farm-related business	12,582	3,416
Energy and water/waste disposal	–	1,400
Rural residential real estate	7,184	7,378
Lease receivables	9	15
Other (including Mission Related)	157	2,627
Total	$ 255,848	$ 310,974
Accruing restructured loans:		
Real estate mortgage	$ 50,769	$ 59,690
Production and intermediate-term	50,227	58,536
Farm-related business	715	761
Rural residential real estate	2,919	3,000
Other (including Mission Related)	9,473	9,532
Total	$ 114,103	$ 131,519
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due:		
Real estate mortgage	$ 959	$ 712
Production and intermediate-term	335	2,130
Rural residential real estate	1,290	2,382
Total	$ 2,584	$ 5,224
Total nonperforming loans	$ 372,535	$ 447,717
Other property owned	50,840	45,986
Total nonperforming assets	$ 423,375	$ 493,703
Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans	1.01%	1.27%
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned	1.66%	2.02%
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of capital	7.35%	9.14%

The following table presents information related to the recorded investment of impaired loans at period end. Impaired loans are loans for which it is probable that all principal and interest will not be collected according to the contractual terms of the loan.

(dollars in thousands)	**September 30, 2015**	**December 31, 2014**
Impaired nonaccrual loans:		
Current as to principal and interest	$ 128,330	$ 155,112
Past due	127,518	155,862
Total	255,848	310,974
Impaired accrual loans:		
Restructured	114,103	131,519
90 days or more past due	2,584	5,224
Total	116,687	136,743
Total impaired loans	$ 372,535	$ 447,717
Additional commitments to lend	$ 3,835	$ 6,832

Additional impaired loan information at period end is summarized as follows. Unpaid principal balance represents the contractual principal balance of the loan.

(dollars in thousands)	**September 30, 2015**			**Quarter Ended September 30, 2015**		**Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015**	
Impaired Loans	**Recorded Investment**	**Unpaid Principal Balance**	**Related Allowance**	**Average Impaired Loans**	**Interest Income Recognized on Impaired Loans**	**Average Impaired Loans**	**Interest Income Recognized on Impaired Loans**
With a related allowance for credit losses:							
Real estate mortgage	$ 44,336	$ 48,531	$ 9,628	$ 44,662	$ 956	$ 54,184	$ 1,333
Production and intermediate-term	47,430	64,361	12,657	47,813	643	53,797	1,361
Processing and marketing	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Farm-related business	10,013	10,686	1,627	10,048	305	8,943	334
Energy and water/waste disposal	–	–	–	–	(15)	464	–
Rural residential real estate	2,757	3,026	761	2,565	63	2,931	85
Lease receivables	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other (including Mission Related)	9,394	9,233	588	9,379	292	8,405	375
Total	$ 113,930	$ 135,837	$ 25,261	$ 114,467	$ 2,244	$ 128,724	$ 3,488
With no related allowance for credit losses:							
Real estate mortgage	$ 136,773	$ 177,410	$ –	$ 137,965	$ 4,792	$ 143,237	$ 5,932
Production and intermediate-term	109,656	157,309	–	110,669	2,022	114,710	3,716
Processing and marketing	11	4,582	–	11	(61)	1,961	–
Farm-related business	3,284	4,508	–	3,955	14	1,809	21
Energy and water/waste disposal	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Rural residential real estate	8,636	10,806	–	7,162	175	6,981	246
Lease receivables	9	63	–	9	–	11	–
Other (including Mission Related)	236	962	–	185	(6)	2,594	7
Total	$ 258,605	$ 355,640	$ –	$ 259,956	$ 6,936	$ 271,303	$ 9,922
Total:							
Real estate mortgage	$ 181,109	$ 225,941	$ 9,628	$ 182,627	$ 5,748	$ 197,421	$ 7,265
Production and intermediate-term	157,086	221,670	12,657	158,482	2,665	168,507	5,077
Processing and marketing	11	4,582	–	11	(61)	1,961	–
Farm-related business	13,297	15,194	1,627	14,003	319	10,752	355
Energy and water/waste disposal	–	–	–	–	(15)	464	–
Rural residential real estate	11,393	13,832	761	9,727	238	9,912	331
Lease receivables	9	63	–	9	–	11	–
Other (including Mission Related)	9,630	10,195	588	9,564	286	10,999	382
Total	$ 372,535	$ 491,477	$ 25,261	$ 374,423	$ 9,180	$ 400,027	$ 13,410

(dollars in thousands)	**December 31, 2014**			**Year Ended December 31, 2014**	
Impaired Loans	**Recorded Investment**	**Unpaid Principal Balance**	**Related Allowance**	**Average Impaired Loans**	**Interest Income Recognized on Impaired Loans**
With a related allowance for credit losses:					
Real estate mortgage	$ 66,102	$ 83,694	$ 13,671	$ 70,001	$ 2,799
Production and intermediate-term	55,710	68,737	16,858	68,642	2,610
Processing and marketing	5,684	5,684	745	5,987	357
Farm-related business	3,377	3,895	370	3,539	191
Energy and water/waste disposal	1,400	1,426	805	685	88
Rural residential real estate	3,136	3,497	900	3,623	159
Lease receivables	–	–	–	–	–
Other (including Mission Related)	8,069	8,070	574	9,357	425
Total	$ 143,478	$ 175,003	$ 33,923	$ 161,834	$ 6,629
With no related allowance for credit losses:					
Real estate mortgage	$ 168,828	$ 226,865	$ –	$ 180,094	$ 7,499
Production and intermediate-term	120,873	172,489	–	123,154	6,507
Processing and marketing	9	5,529	–	237	–
Farm-related business	800	1,254	–	839	45
Communication	–	–	–	–	–
Energy and water/waste disposal	–	–	–	–	–
Rural residential real estate	9,624	11,674	–	10,914	396
Lease receivables	15	69	–	19	1
Other (including Mission Related)	4,090	4,827	–	3,631	163
Total	$ 304,239	$ 422,707	$ –	$ 318,888	$ 14,611
Total:					
Real estate mortgage	$ 234,930	$ 310,559	$ 13,671	$ 250,095	$ 10,298
Production and intermediate-term	176,583	241,226	16,858	191,796	9,117
Processing and marketing	5,693	11,213	745	6,224	357
Farm-related business	4,177	5,149	370	4,378	236
Communication	–	–	–	–	–
Energy and water/waste disposal	1,400	1,426	805	685	88
Rural residential real estate	12,760	15,171	900	14,537	555
Lease receivables	15	69	–	19	1
Other (including Mission Related)	12,159	12,897	574	12,988	588
Total	$ 447,717	$ 597,710	$ 33,923	$ 480,722	$ 21,240

A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses and recorded investment in loans for each reporting period follows:

(dollars in thousands)	**Real Estate Mortgage**	**Production and Intermediate-term**	**Agribusiness***	**Communication**	**Energy and Water/Waste Disposal**	**Rural Residential Real Estate**	**Lease Receivables**	**Other*****	**Total**
Activity related to the allowance for credit losses:									
Balance at June 30, 2015	$ 70,776	$ 83,764	$ 13,647	$ 1,872	1,742	$ 5,784	$ 67	$ 927	$ 178,579
Charge-offs	(1,849)	(1,489)	(669)	–	–	(44)	–	–	(4,051)
Recoveries	5,932	2,033	–	–	–	108	–	5	8,078
Provision for loan losses	(1,531)	1,192	(2,576)	263	(44)	(430)	(14)	4	(3,136)
Loan type reclassifications	72	–	–	–	–	(72)	–	–	–
Balance at September 30, 2015	$ 73,400	$ 85,500	$ 10,402	$ 2,135	$ 1,698	$ 5,346	$ 53	$ 936	$ 179,470
Balance at December 31, 2014	$ 69,773	$ 82,467	$ 11,930	$ 1,519	$ 2,406	$ 5,681	$ 80	$ 997	$ 174,853
Charge-offs	(3,475)	(4,599)	(669)	–	(414)	(293)	–	–	(9,450)
Recoveries	7,478	3,498	985	–	–	122	–	15	12,098
Provision for loan losses	1,305	3,098	(2,513)	616	(294)	(92)	(27)	(124)	1,969
Loan type reclassifications	(1,681)	1,036	669	–	–	(72)	–	48	–
Balance at September 30, 2015	$ 73,400	$ 85,500	$ 10,402	$ 2,135	$ 1,698	$ 5,346	$ 53	$ 936	$ 179,470
Balance at June 30, 2014	$ 72,040	$ 92,022	$ 10,037	$ 1,294	$ 1,530	$ 8,591	$ 76	$ 1,058	$ 186,648
Charge-offs	(2,167)	(1,892)	(1)	–	–	(633)	–	–	(4,693)
Recoveries	3,200	1,353	(552)	–	–	65	–	13	4,079
Provision for loan losses	(3,857)	(2,178)	408	71	(55)	947	(4)	(10)	(4,678)
Balance at September 30, 2014	$ 69,216	$ 89,305	$ 9,892	$ 1,365	$ 1,475	$ 8,970	$ 72	$ 1,061	$ 181,356
Balance at December 31, 2013	$ 74,933	$ 92,180	$ 10,049	$ 1,065	$ 1,427	$ 6,487	$ 91	$ 1,205	$ 187,437
Charge-offs	(5,611)	(6,446)	(2)	–	–	(831)	–	–	(12,890)
Recoveries	6,980	5,173	1,604	–	–	127	–	263	14,147
Provision for loan losses	(7,086)	(1,602)	(1,759)	300	48	3,187	(19)	(407)	(7,338)
Balance at September 30, 2014	$ 69,216	$ 89,305	$ 9,892	$ 1,365	$ 1,475	$ 8,970	$ 72	$ 1,061	$ 181,356
Allowance on loans evaluated for impairment:									
Individually	$ 9,628	$ 12,657	$ 1,627	$ –	$ –	$ 761	$ –	$ 588	$ 25,261
Collectively	63,772	72,843	8,775	2,135	1,698	4,585	53	348	154,209
PCI**	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Balance at September 30, 2015	$ 73,400	$ 85,500	$ 10,402	$ 2,135	$ 1,698	$ 5,346	$ 53	$ 936	$ 179,470
Individually	$ 13,514	$ 16,858	$ 1,115	$ –	$ 805	$ 900	$ –	$ 574	$ 33,766
Collectively	56,102	65,609	10,815	1,519	1,601	4,781	80	423	140,930
PCI**	157	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	157
Balance at December 31, 2014	$ 69,773	$ 82,467	$ 11,930	$ 1,519	$ 2,406	$ 5,681	$ 80	$ 997	$ 174,853
Recorded investment in loans evaluated for impairment:									
Individually	$ 261,542	$ 142,470	$ 17,946	$ 59	$ –	$ 1,807,964	$ –	$ 9,738	$ 2,239,719
Collectively	11,449,361	7,456,469	2,325,593	405,591	462,794	1,168,399	3,461	161,731	23,433,399
PCI**	2,408	534	–	–	–	132	–	–	3,074
Balance at September 30, 2015	$ 11,713,311	$ 7,599,473	$ 2,343,539	$ 405,650	$ 462,794	$ 2,976,495	$ 3,461	$ 171,469	$ 25,676,192
Individually	$ 283,362	$ 147,187	$ 18,317	$ –	$ 1,400	$ 1,961,185	$ 151	$ 7,070	$ 2,418,672
Collectively	10,550,203	7,569,548	2,062,463	357,083	469,214	1,003,313	4,804	149,213	22,165,841
PCI**	4,047	1,042	–	–	–	156	–	–	5,245
Balance at December 31, 2014	$ 10,837,612	$ 7,717,777	$ 2,080,780	$ 357,083	$ 470,614	$ 2,964,654	$ 4,955	$ 156,283	$ 24,589,758

**Includes the loan types: Loans to cooperatives, Processing and marketing, and Farm-related business.*
***Purchased credit impaired loans.*
****Includes mission related loans, international loans, and loans to OFIs.*

A restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. The following tables present additional information about pre-modification and post-modification outstanding recorded investment and the effects of the modifications that occurred during the periods presented. The tables do not include any purchased credit impaired (PCI) loans.

(dollars in thousands)	**Three months ended September 30, 2015**				
Outstanding Recorded Investment	**Interest Concessions**	**Principal Concessions**	**Other Concessions**	**Total**	**Charge-offs**
Pre-modification:					
Real estate mortgage	$ 775	$ 2,521	$ –	$ 3,296	
Production and intermediate-term	452	9,110	–	9,562	
Rural residential real estate	–	260	81	341	
Total	$ 1,227	$ 11,891	$ 81	$ 13,199	
Post-modification:					
Real estate mortgage	$ 834	$ 2,427	$ –	$ 3,261	$ –
Production and intermediate-term	454	8,735	–	9,189	(28)
Rural residential real estate	–	275	126	401	–
Total	$ 1,288	$ 11,437	$ 126	$ 12,851	$ (28)

(dollars in thousands)	Nine months ended September 30, 2015				
Outstanding Recorded Investment	**Interest Concessions**	**Principal Concessions**	**Other Concessions**	**Total**	**Charge-offs**
Pre-modification:					
Real estate mortgage	$ 1,476	$ 12,277	$ –	$ 13,753	
Production and intermediate-term	2,120	29,553	106	31,779	
Rural residential real estate	–	678	80	758	
Other (including Mission Related)	–	–	1,000	1,000	
Total	$ 3,596	$ 42,508	$ 1,186	$ 47,290	
Post-modification:					
Real estate mortgage	$ 1,538	$ 11,925	$ –	$ 13,463	$ (43)
Production and intermediate-term	2,123	29,355	106	31,584	(82)
Rural residential real estate	–	698	126	824	–
Other (including Mission Related)	–	–	1,000	1,000	–
Total	$ 3,661	$ 41,978	$ 1,232	$ 46,871	$ (125)

(dollars in thousands)	Three months ended September 30, 2014				
Outstanding Recorded Investment	**Interest Concessions**	**Principal Concessions**	**Other Concessions**	**Total**	**Charge-offs**
Pre-modification:					
Real estate mortgage	$ 2,718	$ 6,030	$ –	$ 8,748	
Production and intermediate-term	263	13,052	2	13,317	
Total	$ 2,981	$ 19,082	$ 2	$ 22,065	
Post-modification:					
Real estate mortgage	$ 2,764	$ 4,696	$ –	$ 7,460	$ –
Production and intermediate-term	285	12,623	2	12,910	–
Total	$ 3,049	$ 17,319	$ 2	$ 20,370	$ –

(dollars in thousands)	Nine months ended September 30, 2014				
Outstanding Recorded Investment	**Interest Concessions**	**Principal Concessions**	**Other Concessions**	**Total**	**Charge-offs**
Pre-modification:					
Real estate mortgage	$ 4,533	$ 16,863	$ –	$ 21,396	
Production and intermediate-term	1,148	34,119	2	35,269	
Rural residential real estate	326	131	93	550	
Total	$ 6,007	$ 51,113	$ 95	$ 57,215	
Post-modification:					
Real estate mortgage	$ 5,211	$ 15,332	$ –	$ 20,543	$ (10)
Production and intermediate-term	1,170	32,805	2	33,977	(1)
Rural residential real estate	325	114	93	532	(4)
Total	$ 6,706	$ 48,251	$ 95	$ 55,052	$ (15)

Interest concessions may include interest forgiveness and interest deferment. Principal concessions may include principal forgiveness, principal deferment, and maturity extension.

The following table presents outstanding recorded investment for TDRs that occurred during the previous twelve months and for which there was a subsequent payment default during the period. Payment default is defined as a payment that was thirty days or more past due.

	Three months ended September 30,		**Nine months ended September 30,**	
	2015	**2014**	**2015**	**2014**
Real estate mortgage	$ 167	$ 943	$ 2,682	$ 2,105
Production and intermediate-term	1,350	2,063	4,066	4,201
Rural residential real estate	453	77	522	143
Total	$ 1,970	$ 3,083	$ 7,270	$ 6,449

The following table provides information at period end on outstanding loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings. These loans are included as impaired loans in the impaired loan table:

	Total TDRs		**Nonaccrual TDRs**	
(dollars in thousands)	**September 30, 2015**	**December 31, 2014**	**September 30, 2015**	**December 31, 2014**
Real estate mortgage	$ 97,775	$ 133,794	$ 47,006	$ 74,104
Production and intermediate-term	99,483	104,300	49,256	45,764
Farm-related business	13,056	3,808	12,341	3,047
Rural residential real estate	5,475	5,466	2,556	2,466
Other (including Mission Related)	9,632	9,532	159	–
Total Loans	$ 225,421	$ 256,900	$ 111,318	$ 125,381
Additional commitments to lend	$ 3,535	$ 7,338		

The following table presents foreclosure information as of period end:

(dollars in thousands)	**September 30, 2015**
Carrying amount of foreclosed residential real estate properties held as a result of obtaining physical possession	$ 2,078
Recorded investment of consumer mortgage loans secured by residential real estate for which formal foreclosure proceedings are in process	$ 2,995

PCI Loans

For further discussion of the District's accounting for PCI loans, see Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, of the District's most recent Annual Report.

In connection with past mergers, certain Associations purchased impaired loans that are not accounted for as debt securities. The carrying amounts of those loans included in the balance sheet amounts of loans receivable at September 30, 2015, were as follows.

(dollars in thousands)	
Real estate mortgage	$ 2,408
Production and intermediate-term	534
Rural residential real estate	132
Total Loans	$ 3,074

There was no allowance for loan losses related to these loans at September 30, 2015, and at December 31, 2014, the allowance for loan losses related to these loans was $157 thousand. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, provision for loan losses on these loans was an expense reversal of $589 thousand and an expense reversal of $685 thousand, respectively, compared with an expense reversal of $19 thousand and an expense reversal of $845 thousand for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014. See above for a summary of changes in the total allowance for loan losses for the period ended September 30, 2015. There were no loans acquired for 2015 or 2014 for which it was probable at acquisition that all contractually required payments would not be collected.

Certain loans that are within the scope of purchased impaired loan guidance are accounted for using a cash basis method of income recognition because the acquiring Associations could not reasonably estimate cash flows expected to be collected. Substantially all of the loans acquired were real estate collateral dependent loans. At the time of purchase, the real estate markets were very unpredictable, making estimation of the amount and timing of a sale of loan collateral in essentially the same condition as received upon foreclosure indeterminate. As such, the acquiring Associations did not have the information necessary to reasonably estimate cash flows expected to be collected to compute their yield. Management determined a nonaccrual classification would be the most appropriate and that no income would be recognized on these loans as is allowed under accounting guidance.

Note 3 — Investments

Investment Securities

District investments consist primarily of mortgage-backed securities (MBSs) collateralized by U.S. government or U.S. agency guaranteed residential and commercial mortgages. They are held to maintain a liquidity reserve, manage short-term surplus funds, and manage interest rate risk. These securities meet the applicable FCA regulatory guidelines related to government agency guaranteed investments.

Included in the available-for-sale investments are non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) and asset-backed securities (ABSs). These securities must meet the applicable FCA regulatory guidelines, which require them to be high quality, senior class, and rated in the top category (AAA/Aaa) by Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (NRSROs) at the time of purchase. To achieve these ratings, the securities may have a guarantee of timely payment of principal and interest, credit enhancements achieved through over-collateralization or other means, priority of payments for senior classes over junior classes, or bond insurance. All of the non-agency securities owned have one or more credit enhancement features.

The FCA considers a non-agency security ineligible if it falls below AAA/Aaa credit rating criteria and requires Farm Credit System (System) institutions to provide notification to the FCA when a security becomes ineligible. Non-agency CMO and ABS securities not rated in the top category by at least one of the NRSROs at September 30, 2015 had a fair value of $132.8 million and $32.8 million, respectively.

Held-to-maturity investments consist of Mission Related Investments acquired primarily under the Rural Housing Mortgage-Backed Securities (RHMS) and Rural America Bond (RAB) pilot programs. RHMS must be fully guaranteed by a government agency or government sponsored enterprise. RABs are private placement securities which generally have some form of credit enhancement.

Held-to-maturity securities also include ABSs issued through the Small Business Administration and guaranteed by the full faith and credit of the United States government. They are held for managing short-term surplus funds and reducing interest rate risk. These securities meet the applicable FCA regulatory guidelines related to government agency guaranteed investments.

In its Conditions of Approval for the program, the FCA considers an RAB ineligible if its investment rating, based on the internal 14-point risk rating scale used to also grade loans, falls below 9. The FCA requires System institutions to provide notification when a security becomes ineligible. At September 30, 2015, the District held four RABs whose credit quality had deteriorated beyond the program limits.

Effective December 31, 2014, the FCA ended the pilot programs approved after 2004 as part of the Investment in Rural America initiative. Each institution participating in such programs may continue to hold its investment through the maturity dates for the investments, provided the institution continues to meet all approval conditions. The FCA can consider future participation in these programs on a case-by-case basis.

During the first nine months of 2015, proceeds from sales of investments were $29.1 million and realized gains were $1.1 million. During the first nine months of 2014, proceeds from sales of investments were $7.6 million and realized gains were $149 thousand.

Available-for-sale

A summary of the amortized cost and fair value of debt securities held as available-for-sale investments follows:

	September 30, 2015				
(dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Yield
U.S. Govt. Guaranteed	$ 3,649,749	$ 68,505	$ (2,932)	$ 3,715,322	1.68%
U.S. Govt. Agency Guaranteed	2,179,177	19,891	(5,920)	2,193,148	0.86
Non-Agency CMOs (a)	148,481	8	(14,625)	133,864	0.69
ABSs	656,442	26,567	(617)	682,392	1.20
Total	$ 6,633,849	$ 114,971	$ (24,094)	$ 6,724,726	1.34%

	December 31, 2014				
(dollars in thousands)	**Amortized Cost**	**Gross Unrealized Gains**	**Gross Unrealized Losses**	**Fair Value**	**Yield**
U.S. Govt. Guaranteed	$ 3,774,428	$ 91,316	$ (6,538)	$ 3,859,206	1.85%
U.S. Govt. Agency Guaranteed	2,400,460	21,608	(6,537)	2,415,531	0.84
Non-Agency CMOs (b)	171,290	23	(18,302)	153,011	0.64
ABSs	300,594	26,523	(446)	326,671	0.87
Total	$ 6,646,772	$ 139,470	$ (31,823)	$ 6,754,419	1.41%

(a) Gross unrealized losses included non-credit related other-than-temporary impairment included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) of $9.9 million for Non-Agency CMOs.

(b) Gross unrealized losses included non-credit related other-than temporary impairment included in AOCI of $13.1 million for Non-Agency CMOs.

Held-to-maturity

A summary of the amortized cost and fair value of debt securities held as held-to-maturity investments follows:

	September 30, 2015				
(dollars in thousands)	**Amortized Cost**	**Gross Unrealized Gains**	**Gross Unrealized Losses**	**Fair Value**	**Yield**
U.S. Govt. Agency Guaranteed	$ 481,762	$ 17,557	$ (3,291)	$ 496,028	3.53%
ABSs	33,971	618	(106)	34,483	1.67
RABs and Other (a)	184,770	10,437	(1,571)	193,636	5.80
Total	$ 700,503	$ 28,612	$ (4,968)	$ 724,147	4.04%

	December 31, 2014				
(dollars in thousands)	**Amortized Cost**	**Gross Unrealized Gains**	**Gross Unrealized Losses**	**Fair Value**	**Yield**
U.S. Govt. Agency Guaranteed	$ 535,299	$ 22,151	$ (4,164)	$ 553,286	3.63%
ABSs	41,897	802	(107)	42,592	1.83
RABs and Other (b)	211,743	12,557	(1,131)	223,169	5.69
Total	$ 788,939	$ 35,510	$ (5,402)	$ 819,047	4.09%

(a) Gross unrealized losses included non-credit related other-than-temporary impairment included in AOCI of $102 thousand for RABs and Other.

(b) Gross unrealized losses included non-credit related other-than-temporary impairment included in AOCI of $107 thousand for RABs and Other.

A summary of the contractual maturity, estimated fair value and amortized cost of investment securities at September 30, 2015 follows:

Available-for-sale

	Due in 1 year or less		**Due after 1 year through 5 years**		**Due after 5 years through 10 years**		**Due after 10 years**		**Total**	
(dollars in thousands)	**Amount**	**Weighted Average Yield**	**Amount**	**Weighted Average Yield**	**Amount**	**Weighted Average Yield**	**Amount**	**Weighted Average Yield**	**Amount**	**Weighted Average Yield**
U.S. Govt. Guaranteed	$ –	– %	$ 10	0.41 %	$ 81,157	0.65 %	$ 3,634,155	1.70 %	$ 3,715,322	1.68 %
U.S. Govt. Agency Guaranteed	5,492	0.95	224,695	1.01	126,706	0.93	1,836,255	0.83	2,193,148	0.86
Non-Agency CMOs	–	–	–	–	1,026	0.92	132,838	0.69	133,864	0.69
ABSs	5,688	0.59	643,890	0.75	–	–	32,814	42.23	682,392	1.20
Total fair value	$ 11,180	0.76 %	$ 868,595	0.82 %	$ 208,889	0.82 %	$ 5,636,062	1.44 %	$ 6,724,726	1.34 %
Total amortized cost	$ 11,182		$ 867,242		$ 208,130		$ 5,547,295		$ 6,633,849	

Held-to-maturity

	Due in 1 year or less		**Due after 1 year through 5 years**		**Due after 5 years through 10 years**		**Due after 10 years**		**Total**	
(dollars in thousands)	**Amount**	**Weighted Average Yield**	**Amount**	**Weighted Average Yield**	**Amount**	**Weighted Average Yield**	**Amount**	**Weighted Average Yield**	**Amount**	**Weighted Average Yield**
U.S. Govt. Agency Guaranteed	$ –	– %	$ 169	4.47 %	$ –	– %	$ 481,593	3.53 %	$ 481,762	3.53 %
ABSs	276	0.48	25,402	1.52	5,361	1.94	2,932	2.57	33,971	1.67
RABs and Other	2,012	3.75	19,509	5.74	46,477	6.01	116,772	5.76	184,770	5.80
Total amortized cost	$ 2,288	3.36 %	$ 45,080	3.36 %	$ 51,838	5.59 %	$ 601,297	3.96 %	$ 700,503	4.04 %
Total fair value	$ 2,287		$ 46,463		$ 55,547		$ 619,850		$ 724,147	

A substantial portion of these investments has contractual maturities in excess of ten years. However, expected maturities for these types of securities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

An investment is considered impaired if its fair value is less than its amortized cost. This also applies to those securities other-than-temporarily impaired for which a credit loss has been recognized but noncredit-related losses continue to remain unrealized. The following tables show the fair value and gross unrealized losses for all investments that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position aggregated by investment category at each reporting period. A continuous unrealized loss position for an investment is measured from the date the impairment was first identified.

	September 30, 2015					
	Less than 12 Months		**12 Months or Greater**		**Total**	
(dollars in thousands)	**Fair Value**	**Unrealized Losses**	**Fair Value**	**Unrealized Losses**	**Fair Value**	**Unrealized Losses**
U.S. Govt. Guaranteed	$ 381,712	$ (696)	$ 406,548	$ (2,236)	$ 788,260	$ (2,932)
U.S. Govt. Agency Guaranteed	658,604	(2,970)	554,620	(6,241)	1,213,224	(9,211)
Non-Agency CMOs	1,799	(5)	131,908	(14,620)	133,707	(14,625)
ABSs	389,849	(234)	13,478	(489)	403,327	(723)
RABs and Other	33,617	(1,102)	12,659	(469)	46,276	(1,571)
Total	$ 1,465,581	$ (5,007)	$ 1,119,213	$ (24,055)	$ 2,584,794	$ (29,062)

	December 31, 2014					
	Less than 12 Months		**12 Months or Greater**		**Total**	
(dollars in thousands)	**Fair Value**	**Unrealized Losses**	**Fair Value**	**Unrealized Losses**	**Fair Value**	**Unrealized Losses**
U.S. Govt. Guaranteed	$ 679,802	$ (2,094)	$ 504,943	$ (4,444)	$ 1,184,745	$ (6,538)
U.S. Govt. Agency Guaranteed	504,898	(1,306)	816,972	(9,395)	1,321,870	(10,701)
Non-Agency CMOs	14,324	(647)	137,670	(17,655)	151,994	(18,302)
ABSs	185,727	(206)	7,168	(347)	192,895	(553)
RABs and Other	17,173	(147)	33,068	(984)	50,241	(1,131)
Total	$ 1,401,924	$ (4,400)	$ 1,499,821	$ (32,825)	$ 2,901,745	$ (37,225)

The recording of an impairment is predicated on: (1) whether or not management intends to sell the security, (2) whether it is more likely than not that management would be required to sell the security before recovering its costs, and (3) whether management expects to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis (even if there is no intention to sell). If the District intends to sell the security or it is more likely than not that it would be required to sell the security, the impairment loss recognized equals the full difference between amortized cost and fair value of the security. When the District does not intend to sell securities in an unrealized loss position and it is not more likely than not that it would be required to sell the securities, other-than-temporary impairment loss is separated into credit loss and noncredit loss. Credit loss is defined as the shortfall of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected in relation to the amortized cost basis.

The District performs periodic credit reviews, including other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) analyses, on its investment securities portfolio. The objective is to quantify future possible loss of principal or interest due on securities in the portfolio. Factors considered in determining whether an impairment is other-than-temporary include among others: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value is less than cost, (2) adverse conditions specifically related to the industry, (3) geographic area and the condition of the underlying collateral, (4) payment structure of the security, (5) ratings by rating agencies, (6) the creditworthiness of bond insurers, and (7) volatility of the fair value changes.

The District uses the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from each debt security to determine the amount of credit loss. This technique requires assumptions related to the underlying collateral, including default rates, amount and timing of prepayments, and loss severity. Assumptions can vary widely from security to security and are influenced by such factors as loan interest rate, geographical location of the borrower, borrower characteristics, and collateral type.

Significant inputs used to estimate the amount of credit loss include, but are not limited to, performance indicators of the underlying assets in the security (including default rates, delinquency rates, and percentage of nonperforming assets), loan-to-collateral value ratios, third-party guarantees, current levels of subordination, vintage, geographic concentration, and credit ratings. The District obtains assumptions for the default rate, prepayment rate, and loss severity rate from an independent third party.

Following are the assumptions used for the periods ended:

Assumptions Used	MBSs	ABSs
September 30, 2015		
Default rate by range	0.23% to 23.43%	22.71% to 40.16%
Prepayment rate by range	2.96% to 15.43%	2.26% to 10.68 %
Loss severity by range	20.18% to 67.02%	92.67% to 100.61%
December 31, 2014		
Default rate by range	0.83% to 31.49%	6.72% to 52.16%
Prepayment rate by range	6.17% to 16.72%	5.36% to 12.04%
Loss severity by range	4.37% to 68.03%	64.72% to 100.00%

Based on the results of all analyses, the District has recognized $1.4 million of credit-related OTTI for 2015, which is included in Net Other-than-temporary Impairment Losses in the Combined Statements of Income. Since the District does not intend to sell these other-than-temporarily impaired debt securities and is not more likely than not to be required to sell before recovery, the total OTTI is reflected in the Statements of Income with: (1) a net other-than-temporary impairment amount related to estimated credit loss, and (2) an amount relating to all other factors, recognized as a reclassification to or from Other Comprehensive Income (OCI).

For the nine months ended September 30, 2015, net unrealized losses of $19.8 million were recognized in OCI on available-for-sale investments that are not other-than-temporarily impaired.

The following schedule details the activity related to cumulative credit losses on investments recognized in earnings for which a portion of an other-than-temporary impairment was recognized in other comprehensive income:

	For the three months ended September 30,		For the nine months ended September 30,	
(dollars in thousands)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Amount related to credit loss-beginning balance	$ 59,597	$ 61,213	$ 60,217	$ 60,071
Additions for initial credit impairments	–	–	–	–
Additions for subsequent credit impairments	1,191	114	1,404	1,566
Reductions for increases in expected cash flows	(859)	(199)	(1,692)	(618)
Reductions for securities sold/settled/matured	–	–	–	109
Amount related to credit loss-ending balance	59,929	61,128	59,929	61,128
Life to date incurred credit losses	(20,553)	(21,012)	(20,553)	(21,012)
Remaining unrealized credit losses	$ 39,376	$ 40,116	$ 39,376	$ 40,116

For all other impaired investments, the District has not recognized any credit losses as the impairments are deemed temporary and result from non-credit related factors. The District has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of unrealized losses occurs, which may be at maturity, and at this time expects to collect the full principal amount and interest due on these securities. Substantially all of these investments were in U.S. government agency securities and the District expects these securities would not be settled at a price less than their amortized cost.

Note 4 — Debt

Bonds and Notes

AgFirst, unlike commercial banks and other depository institutions, obtains funds for its lending operations primarily from the sale of Systemwide Debt Securities issued jointly by the System banks through the Funding Corporation. Certain conditions must be met before AgFirst can participate in the issuance of Systemwide Debt Securities. As one condition of participation, AgFirst is required by the Farm Credit Act and FCA regulations to maintain specified eligible assets at least equal in value to the total amount of debt obligations outstanding for which it is primarily liable. This requirement does not provide holders of Systemwide Debt Securities with a security interest in any assets of the banks.

In accordance with FCA regulations, each issuance of Systemwide Debt Securities ranks equally with other unsecured Systemwide Debt Securities. Systemwide Debt Securities are not issued under an indenture and no trustee is provided with respect to these securities. Systemwide Debt Securities are not subject to acceleration prior to maturity upon the occurrence of any default or similar event.

The System may issue the following types of Systemwide Debt Securities:

- Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Bonds,
- Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Discount Notes,
- Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Master Notes,
- Federal Farm Credit Banks Global Debt Securities, and
- Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Medium-Term Notes.

Additional information regarding Systemwide Debt Securities can be found in their respective offering circulars.

The following table provides a summary of AgFirst's participation in outstanding Systemwide Debt Securities by maturity. Weighted average interest rates include the effect of related derivative financial instruments. The table does not include $445.1 million of intra-system obligations.

	September 30, 2015					
(dollars in thousands)	**Bonds**		**Discount Notes**		**Total**	
Maturities	**Amortized Cost**	**Weighted Average Interest Rate**	**Amortized Cost**	**Weighted Average Interest Rate**	**Amortized Cost**	**Weighted Average Interest Rate**
One year or less	$ 5,588,323	0.58%	$ 4,948,845	0.25%	$ 10,537,168	0.43%
Greater than one year to two years	5,396,549	0.60	–	–	5,396,549	0.60
Greater than two years to three years	4,022,035	0.79	–	–	4,022,035	0.79
Greater than three years to four years	1,988,899	1.39	–	–	1,988,899	1.39
Greater than four years to five years	1,538,009	1.80	–	–	1,538,009	1.80
Greater than five years	3,947,671	2.53	–	–	3,947,671	2.53
Total	$ 22,481,486	1.12%	$ 4,948,845	0.25%	$ 27,430,331	0.96%

Discount notes are issued with maturities ranging from 1 to 365 days. The average maturity of discount notes at September 30, 2015 was 122 days.

Note 5 — Shareholders' Equity

Perpetual Preferred Stock

Payment of dividends or redemption price on issued Preferred Stock may be restricted if the Bank fails to satisfy applicable minimum capital adequacy, surplus, and collateral requirements.

On August 6, 2015, the Bank repurchased, through a privately negotiated transaction, and subsequently cancelled Class B Perpetual Non-Cumulative Fixed-to-Floating Rate Subordinated Preferred Stock with a par value of $10.3 million. The effect of the repurchase on shareholders' equity was to reduce preferred stock outstanding by $10.3 million and increase additional paid-in capital by $3.4 million.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following presents activity related to AOCI for the periods presented below:

	Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income by Component *(a)*			
	For the three months ended September 30,		**For the nine months ended September 30,**	
(dollars in thousands)	**2015**	**2014**	**2015**	**2014**
Investment Securities:				
Balance at beginning of period	$ 95,191	$ 110,495	$ 108,886	$ 99,865
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(4,297)	1,589	(17,049)	10,916
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	913	114	(30)	1,417
Net current period other comprehensive income	(3,384)	1,703	(17,079)	12,333
Balance at end of period	$ 91,807	$ 112,198	$ 91,807	$ 112,198
Cash Flow Hedges:				
Balance at beginning of period	$ (816)	$ (165)	$ (548)	$ 289
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(3)	65	102	157
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	(59)	(279)	(432)	(825)
Net current period other comprehensive income	(62)	(214)	(330)	(668)
Balance at end of period	$ (878)	$ (379)	$ (878)	$ (379)
Employee Benefit Plans:				
Balance at beginning of period	$ (387,212)	$ (265,250)	$ (405,649)	$ (275,443)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	–	–	–	–
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	9,219	5,096	27,656	15,289
Net current period other comprehensive income	9,219	5,096	27,656	15,289
Balance at end of period	$ (377,994)	$ (260,154)	$ (377,993)	$ (260,154)
Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income:				
Balance at beginning of period	$ (292,837)	$ (154,920)	$ (297,311)	$ (175,289)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(4,300)	1,654	(16,947)	11,073
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	10,073	4,931	27,194	15,881
Net current period other comprehensive income	5,773	6,585	10,247	26,954
Balance at end of period	$ (287,064)	$ (148,335)	$ (287,064)	$ (148,335)

	Reclassifications Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income *(b)*				
	For the three months ended September 30,		**For the nine months ended September 30,**		
(dollars in thousands)	**2015**	**2014**	**2015**	**2014**	**Income Statement Line Item**
Investment Securities:					
Sales gains & losses	$ –	$ –	$ 1,126	$ 149	Gains (losses) on investments, net
Holding gains & losses	(1,191)	(114)	(1,404)	(1,566)	Net other-than-temporary impairment
Amortization	278	–	308	–	Interest income on investments
Net amounts reclassified	(913)	(114)	30	(1,417)	
Cash Flow Hedges:					
Interest income	62	214	330	668	See Note 10.
Gains (losses) on other transactions	(3)	65	102	157	See Note 10.
Net amounts reclassified	59	279	432	825	
Employee Benefit Plans:					
Periodic pension costs	(9,219)	(5,096)	(27,656)	(15,289)	See Note 7.
Net amounts reclassified	(9,219)	(5,096)	(27,656)	(15,289)	
Total reclassifications for period	$ (10,073)	$ (4,931)	$ (27,194)	$ (15,881)	

(a) Amounts in parentheses indicate debits to AOCI.
(b) Amounts in parentheses indicate debits to profit/loss.

Note 6 — Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability.

Accounting guidance establishes a hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements to maximize the use of observable inputs, that is, inputs that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity. The hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. A financial instrument’s categorization within the hierarchy tiers is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The classifications within the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets. Level 1 assets and liabilities could include investment securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market, in addition to certain U.S. Treasury securities that are highly-liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices in markets that are not active; and inputs that are observable, or can be corroborated, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability. Level 2 assets and liabilities could include investment securities that are traded in active, non-exchange markets and derivative contracts that are traded in active, over-the-counter markets.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable and supported by little or no market activity. Level 3 assets and liabilities could include investments and derivative contracts whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, and other instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. Level 3 assets and liabilities could also include investments and derivative contracts whose price has been adjusted based on dealer quoted pricing that is different than the third-party valuation or internal model pricing.

For a complete discussion of the inputs and other assumptions considered in assigning various assets and liabilities to the fair value hierarchy levels, see the most recent Annual Report to Shareholders.

In 2009, the Bank began adjusting the pricing it received for the Non-Agency ABS and CMO securities from the third party pricing service with that obtained from an investment analysis consultant due to the inherent illiquidity and dislocation in the market for these bonds. At that time, these securities were also reclassified and reported as Level 3 fair value measurements because of this market unobservable pricing input. In 2012, this valuation input was discontinued because of a reduction in volatilities and risk, as measured by the pricing differences and changes over time, for these bonds.

Price discovery for these two portfolio segments has not presented a problem for a number of years and has been quite transparent. Valuations have been corroborated by various information providers, brokers, and dealers. Documentation from the third party pricing service indicates market observable inputs are used in their valuations of these securities. On June 30, 2015, the Non-Agency ABS and CMO bonds were transferred to Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The District had no other transfers of assets or liabilities measured on a recurring basis into or out of Level 1 or Level 2 during the reporting period. For Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the tables below present a reconciliation from the opening balances to the closing balances, and any transfers into or out of Level 3.

(dollars in thousands)	ABSs	Non-Agency CMOs	RABs and Other
Balance at December 31, 2014	$ 34,783	$ 153,011	$ –
Gains or (losses) included in earnings	–	(213)	–
Gains or (losses) included in OCI	(153)	1,910	–
Purchases	–	–	–
Sales	–	–	–
Settlements	(1,088)	(13,909)	–
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	(33,542)	(140,799)	–
Balance at September 30, 2015	$ –	$ –	$ –

(dollars in thousands)	ABS	Non-Agency CMOs	RABs and Other
Balance at December 31, 2013	$ 38,798	$ 173,486	$ 41,286
Gains or (losses) included in earnings	–	(1,322)	(18)
Gains or (losses) included in OCI	7,674	8,475	2,030
Purchases	–	–	–
Sales	–	–	(4,886)
Issuances	–	–	–
Settlements	(7,788)	(20,354)	(5,328)
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	–	–	–
Balance at September 30, 2014	$ 38,684	$ 160,285	$ 33,084

Fair values are estimated at each period end date for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair values are estimated at least annually, or when information suggests a significant change in value, for assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Other Financial Instruments are not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position, but their fair values are estimated as of each period end date. The following tables summarize the carrying amounts of these assets and liabilities at period end, and their related fair values.

	At or for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015					
(dollars in thousands)	Total Carrying Amount	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair Value	Fair Value Effects On Earnings
Recurring Measurements						
Assets:						
Investments available-for-sale:						
U.S. Govt. Guaranteed	$ 3,715,322	$ –	$ 3,715,322	$ –	$ 3,715,322	
U.S. Govt. Agency Guaranteed	2,193,148	–	2,193,148	–	2,193,148	
Non-Agency CMOs	133,864	–	133,864	–	133,864	
ABSs	682,392	–	682,392	–	682,392	
Total investments available-for-sale	6,724,726	–	6,724,726	–	6,724,726	
Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements, and other	218,718	–	218,718	–	218,718	
Interest rate swaps and other derivative instruments	8,301	–	8,301	–	8,301	
Assets held in trust funds	21,254	21,254	–	–	21,254	
Recurring Assets	$ 6,972,999	$ 21,254	$ 6,951,745	$ –	$ 6,972,999	
Liabilities:						
Interest rate swaps and other derivative instruments	$ –	$ –	$ –	$ –	$ –	
Collateral liabilities	–	–	–	–	–	
Recurring Liabilities	$ –	$ –	$ –	$ –	$ –	
Nonrecurring Measurements						
Assets:						
Impaired loans	$ 347,274	$ –	$ –	$ 347,274	$ 347,274	$ 11,310
Other property owned	50,840	–	–	56,664	56,664	(407)
Other Investments	80	–	–	80	80	(171)
Nonrecurring Assets	$ 398,194	$ –	$ –	$ 404,018	$ 404,018	$ 10,732
Other Financial Instruments						
Assets:						
Cash	$ 771,839	$ 771,839	$ –	$ –	$ 771,839	
Investments held to maturity	700,503	–	530,511	193,636	724,147	
Loans	24,940,466	–	–	25,026,280	25,026,280	
Other investments	–	–	–	–	–	
Other Financial Assets	$ 26,412,808	$ 771,839	$ 530,511	$ 25,219,916	$ 26,522,266	
Liabilities:						
Systemwide debt securities	$ 27,875,451	$ –	$ –	$ 27,923,088	$ 27,923,088	
Other Financial Liabilities	$ 27,875,451	$ –	$ –	$ 27,923,088	$ 27,923,088	

	At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2014					
(dollars in thousands)	**Total Carrying Amount**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**	**Total Fair Value**	**Fair Value Effects On Earnings**
Recurring Measurements						
Assets:						
Investments available-for-sale:						
U.S. Govt. Guaranteed	$ 3,859,206	$ –	$ 3,859,206	$ –	$ 3,859,206	
U.S. Govt. Agency Guaranteed	2,415,531	–	2,415,531	–	2,415,531	
Non-Agency CMOs	153,011	–	–	153,011	153,011	
ABSs	326,671	–	291,888	34,783	326,671	
Total investments available-for-sale	6,754,419	–	6,566,625	187,794	6,754,419	
Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements, and other	224,847	–	224,847	–	224,847	
Interest rate swaps and other derivative instruments	16,267	–	16,267	–	16,267	
Assets held in trust funds	20,239	20,239	–	–	20,239	
Recurring Assets	$ 7,015,772	$ 20,239	$ 6,807,739	$ 187,794	$ 7,015,772	
Liabilities:						
Interest rate swaps and other derivative instruments	$ –	$ –	$ –	$ –	$ –	
Collateral liabilities	–	–	–	–	–	
Recurring Liabilities	$ –	$ –	$ –	$ –	$ –	
Nonrecurring Measurements						
Assets:						
Impaired loans	$ 413,794	$ –	$ –	$ 413,794	$ 413,794	$ 13,115
Other property owned	45,986	–	–	50,536	50,536	(1,762)
Other investments	251	–	–	251	251	(188)
Nonrecurring Assets	$ 460,031	$ –	$ –	$ 464,581	$ 464,581	$ 11,165
Other Financial Instruments						
Assets:						
Cash	$ 671,342	$ 671,342	$ –	$ –	$ 671,342	
Investments held to maturity	788,939	–	595,878	223,169	819,047	
Loans	23,834,507	–	–	23,866,235	23,866,235	
Other Financial Assets	$ 25,294,788	$ 671,342	$ 595,878	$ 24,089,404	$ 25,356,624	
Liabilities:						
Systemwide debt securities	$ 27,058,364	$ –	$ –	$ 27,009,191	$ 27,009,191	
Other Financial Liabilities	$ 27,058,364	$ –	$ –	$ 27,009,191	$ 27,009,191	

SENSITIVITY TO CHANGES IN SIGNIFICANT UNOBSERVABLE INPUTS

Discounted cash flow or similar modeling techniques are generally used to determine the recurring fair value measurements for Level 3 assets and liabilities. Use of these techniques requires determination of relevant inputs and assumptions, some of which represent significant unobservable inputs as indicated in the tables that follow. Accordingly, changes in these unobservable inputs may have a significant impact on fair value.

Certain of these unobservable inputs will (in isolation) have a directionally consistent impact on the fair value of the instrument for a given change in that input. Alternatively, the fair value of the instrument may move in an opposite direction for a given change in another input. Where multiple inputs are used within the valuation technique of an asset or liability, a change in one input in a certain direction may be offset by an opposite change in another input having a potentially muted impact to the overall fair value of that particular instrument. Additionally, a change in one unobservable input may result in a change to another unobservable input (that is, changes in certain inputs are interrelated with one another), which may counteract or magnify the fair value impact.

Investment Securities

The fair values of predominantly all Level 3 investment securities have consistent inputs, valuation techniques and correlation to changes in underlying inputs. The models used to determine fair value for these instruments use certain significant unobservable inputs within a discounted cash flow or market comparable pricing valuation technique. Such inputs generally include discount rate components including risk premiums, prepayment estimates, default estimates and loss severities.

These Level 3 assets would decrease (increase) in value based upon an increase (decrease) in discount rates, defaults, or loss severities. Conversely, the fair value of these assets would generally increase (decrease) in value if the prepayment input were to increase (decrease).

Generally, a change in the assumption used for defaults is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the risk premium component of the discount rate (specifically, the portion related to credit risk) and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayments. Unobservable inputs for loss severities do not normally increase or decrease based on movements in the other significant unobservable inputs for these Level 3 assets.

Derivative Instruments

Level 3 derivative instruments consist of forward contracts that represent a hedge of an unrecognized firm commitment to purchase agency securities at a future date. The value of the forward is the difference between the fair value of the security at inception of the forward and the measurement date. Significant inputs for these valuations would be discount rate and volatility. These Level 3 derivatives would decrease (increase) in value based upon an increase (decrease) in the discount rate.

Generally, for derivative instruments which are subject to changes in the value of the underlying referenced instrument, change in the assumption used for default rate is accompanied by directionally similar change in the risk premium component of the discount rate (specifically, the portion related to credit risk) and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

Unobservable inputs for discount rate and volatility do not increase or decrease based on movements in other significant unobservable inputs for these Level 3 instruments.

Inputs to Valuation Techniques

Management determines the District's valuation policies and procedures. Internal valuation processes are calibrated annually by an independent consultant. Fair value measurements are analyzed on a periodic basis. Documentation is obtained for third party information, such as pricing, and periodically evaluated alongside internal information and pricing.

Quoted market prices are generally not available for the instruments presented below. Accordingly, fair values are based on judgments regarding anticipated cash flows, future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates involve uncertainties and matters of judgment, and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Quantitative Information about Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

	Fair Value		Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input	Range
Forward contracts – when-issued securities	$	–	Broker/Consensus pricing	Offered quotes	None outstanding
Impaired loans and other property owned	$	403,938	Appraisal	Income and expense	*
				Comparable sales	*
				Replacement cost	*
				Comparability adjustments	*
Other investments – RBIC	$	80	Third party evaluation	Income, expense, capital	Not applicable

** Ranges for this type of input are not useful because each collateral property is unique.*

Information about Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 2 Fair Value Measurements

	Valuation Technique(s)	Input
Investments available-for-sale	Discounted cash flow	Constant prepayment rate
		Probability of default
		Loss severity
	Quoted prices	Price for similar security
Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and other	Carrying value	Par/principal and appropriate interest yield
Interest rate swaps	Discounted cash flow	Annualized volatility
		Counterparty credit risk
		Own credit risk

Information about Other Financial Instrument Fair Value Measurements

	Valuation Technique(s)	Input
Loans	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment forecasts Probability of default Loss severity
Cash and cash equivalents	Carrying value	Par/principal and appropriate interest yield
RABs and other	Discounted cash flow	Risk adjusted spread Prepayment rates Probability of default Loss severity
Assets held in trust funds	Quoted prices	Price for identical security
Bonds and notes	Discounted cash flow	Benchmark yield curve Derived yield spread Own credit risk
Cash collateral	Carrying value	Par/principal and appropriate interest yield

Note 7 — Employee Benefit Plans

Following are retirement and other postretirement benefit expenses for the District:

	For the three months ended September 30,		For the nine months ended September 30,	
(dollars in thousands)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Pension	$ 11,067	$ 8,951	$ 33,201	$ 26,853
401k	2,661	1,883	8,327	5,906
Other postretirement benefits	4,258	2,614	12,776	7,844
Total	$ 17,986	$ 13,448	$ 54,304	$ 40,603

Following are retirement and other postretirement benefit contributions for the District. Projections are based upon actuarially determined amounts as of the most recent measurement date of December 31, 2014.

(dollars in thousands)	Actual YTD Through 9/30/15	Projected Contributions for Remainder of 2015	Projected Total Contributions 2015
Pensions	$ 772	$ 60,880	$ 61,652
Other postretirement benefits	5,663	1,947	7,610
Total	$ 6,435	$ 62,827	$ 69,262

Contributions in the above table include allocated estimates of funding for multi-employer plans in which the District participates. These amounts may change when a total funding amount and allocation is determined by the respective Plans' Sponsor Committees. Also, market conditions could impact discount rates and return on plan assets which could change contributions necessary before the next plan measurement date of December 31, 2015.

Further details regarding employee benefit plans are contained in the most recent Annual Report to Shareholders, including a discussion of benefit plan changes related to the termination of the AgFirst Farm Credit Cash Balance Retirement Plan.

Note 8 — Commitments and Contingencies

Under the Farm Credit Act of 1971, each System bank is primarily liable for its portion of Systemwide bond and discount note obligations. Additionally, the four banks are jointly and severally liable for the bonds and notes of the other System banks under the terms of the Joint and Several Liability Reallocation Agreement. Published in the Federal Register, the agreement prescribes the payment mechanisms to be employed in the event one of the banks is unable to meet its debt obligations.

In the event a bank is unable to timely pay principal or interest on an insured debt obligation for which the bank is primarily liable, the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (FCSIC) must expend amounts in the Insurance Fund to the extent available to ensure the timely payment of principal and interest on the insured debt obligation. The provisions of the Farm Credit Act providing for joint and several liability of the banks on the obligation cannot be invoked until the amounts in the Insurance Fund have been exhausted. However, because of other mandatory and discretionary uses of the Insurance Fund, there is no assurance that there will be sufficient funds to pay the principal or interest on the insured debt obligation.

Once joint and several liability is initiated, the FCA is required to make “calls” to satisfy the liability first on all non-defaulting banks in the proportion that each non-defaulting bank’s available collateral (collateral in excess of collateralized obligations) bears to the aggregate available collateral of all non-defaulting banks. If these calls do not satisfy the liability, then a further call would be made in proportion to each non-defaulting bank’s remaining assets. Upon making a call on non-defaulting banks with respect to a Systemwide Debt Security issued on behalf of a defaulting bank, the FCA is required to appoint FCSIC as the receiver for the defaulting bank. The receiver would be required to expeditiously liquidate assets of the bank.

During the periods presented, AgFirst did not make any payments, and as of the report date does not anticipate making any payments, on behalf of its co-obligors under the Joint and Several Liability Reallocation Agreement.

(dollars in billions)	**9/30/15**	**12/31/14**
Total System bonds and notes	$ 231.334	$ 225.437
AgFirst bonds and notes	$ 27.430	$ 26.847

From time to time, legal actions are pending against the District in which claims for money damages are asserted. On at least a quarterly basis, the District assesses its liabilities and contingencies in connection with outstanding legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. While the outcome of legal proceedings is inherently uncertain, on the basis of information presently available, management and legal counsel are of the opinion that the ultimate liability, if any, from these actions, would not be material in relation to the financial position of the District. Because it is not probable that the District will incur a loss or the loss is not estimable, no liability has been recorded for any claims that may be pending.

Note 9 — Additional Financial Information

Offsetting of Financial and Derivative Assets

	September 30, 2015					
				Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Balance Sheets		
(dollars in thousands)	**Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets**	**Gross Amounts Offset in the Balance Sheets**	**Net Amounts of Assets Presented in the Balance Sheets**	**Financial Instruments**	**Cash Collateral Received**	**Net Amount**
Derivatives	$ 8,301	$ –	$ 8,301	$ –	$ –	$ 8,301
Reverse repurchase and similar arrangements	218,718	–	218,718	(218,718)	–	–
Total	$ 227,019	$ –	$ 227,019	$ (218,718)	$ –	$ 8,301

	December 31, 2014					
				Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Balance Sheets		
(dollars in thousands)	**Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets**	**Gross Amounts Offset in the Balance Sheets**	**Net Amounts of Assets Presented in the Balance Sheets**	**Financial Instruments**	**Cash Collateral Received**	**Net Amount**
Derivatives	$ 16,267	$ –	$ 16,267	$ –	$ –	$ 16,267
Reverse repurchase and similar arrangements	224,847	–	224,847	(224,847)	–	–
Total	$ 241,114	$ –	$ 241,114	$ (224,847)	$ –	$ 16,267

There were no liabilities subject to master netting arrangements or similar agreements during the reporting periods.

A description of the rights of setoff associated with recognized derivative assets and liabilities subject to enforceable master netting arrangements is located in Note 10, *Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities*.

The reverse repurchase agreements are accounted for as collateralized lending.

Bank Only Financial Data

Condensed financial information of the Bank follows:

Balance Sheets

(dollars in thousands)	**September 30, 2015**	**December 31, 2014**
	(unaudited)	*(audited)*
Cash, cash equivalents and investment securities	$ 8,282,736	$ 8,261,289
Loans		
To District Associations	14,651,521	14,280,193
To others	6,804,552	6,613,426
Total loans	21,456,073	20,893,619
Allowance for loan losses	(15,287)	(15,535)
Net loans	21,440,786	20,878,084
Other assets	313,523	363,849
Total assets	$ 30,037,045	$ 29,503,222
Bonds and notes	$ 27,430,331	$ 26,847,246
Other liabilities	170,592	448,569
Total liabilities	27,600,923	27,295,815
Perpetual preferred stock	115,000	125,250
Capital stock and participation certificates	303,428	303,180
Additional paid-in-capital	39,988	36,580
Retained earnings	1,892,558	1,640,449
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	85,148	101,948
Total shareholders’ equity	2,436,122	2,207,407
Total liabilities and equity	$ 30,037,045	$ 29,503,222

Statements of Income

	For the nine months ended September 30,	
(dollars in thousands)	**2015**	**2014**
	(unaudited)	
Interest income	$ 523,443	$ 515,832
Interest expense	181,616	155,382
Net interest income	341,827	360,450
Provision for (reversal of) loan losses	(2,085)	(3,884)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	343,912	364,334
Noninterest expense, net	90,398	82,572
Net income	$ 253,514	$ 281,762

Note 10 — Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

One of the District’s goals is to minimize interest rate sensitivity by managing the repricing characteristics of assets and liabilities so that the net interest margin is not adversely affected by movements in interest rates. The District maintains an overall interest rate risk management strategy that may incorporate the use of derivative instruments to achieve that goal. Currently, the primary derivative type used by the District is interest rate swaps, which convert fixed interest rate debt to a lower floating interest rate than was achievable from issuing floating rate debt with identical repricing characteristics. They may allow the District to lower funding costs, diversify sources of funding, or alter interest rate exposures arising from mismatches between assets and liabilities. Under these arrangements, the District agrees with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, payment streams calculated on a specified notional principal amount, with at least one stream based on a specified floating rate index.

The District may also purchase interest rate derivatives, such as caps, in order to reduce the impact of rising interest rates on its floating-rate debt, and floors, in order to reduce the impact of falling interest rates on its floating-rate assets. In addition, the District may also fix a price to be paid in the future which qualifies as a derivative forward contract. As a result of interest rate fluctuations, interest income and interest expense related to hedged variable-rate assets and liabilities, respectively, will increase or decrease. Another result of interest rate fluctuations is that hedged fixed-rate assets and liabilities will appreciate or depreciate in market value. The effects of any earnings variability or unrealized changes in market value are expected to be substantially offset by the District's gains or losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged assets and liabilities. The District considers its strategic use of derivatives to be a prudent method of managing interest rate sensitivity, as it prevents earnings from being exposed to undue risk posed by changes in interest rates.

The primary types of derivative instruments used and the amount of activity for the periods presented is summarized in the following table:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2015		**2014**	
Notional Amounts *(dollars in millions)*	**Receive-Fixed Swaps**	**Forward Contracts**	**Receive-Fixed Swaps**	**Forward Contracts**
Balance at beginning of period	$ 250	$ 1	$ 250	$ –
Additions	−	4	−	8
Maturities/amortization	−	(5)	−	(8)
Terminations	–	−	–	−
Balance at end of period	$ 250	$ −	$ 250	$ –

By using derivative instruments, the District exposes itself to credit and market risk. If a counterparty fails to fulfill its performance obligations under a derivative contract, the District's credit risk will equal the fair value gain in the derivative. Generally, when the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, this indicates that the counterparty owes the District, thus creating a repayment risk for the District. When the fair value of the derivative contract is negative, the District owes the counterparty and, therefore, assumes no repayment risk.

To minimize the risk of credit losses, the District transacts with counterparties that have an investment grade credit rating from a major rating agency and also monitors the credit standing of, and levels of exposure to, individual counterparties. The District typically enters into master agreements that contain netting provisions. These provisions allow the District to require the net settlement of covered contracts with the same counterparty in the event of default by the counterparty on one or more contracts. A number of swaps are supported by collateral arrangements with counterparties.

Counterparty exposure related to derivatives at:

(dollars in millions)	**September 30, 2015**	**December 31, 2014**
Estimated Gross Credit Risk	$8.3	$16.3
Percent of Notional	3.32%	6.51%
Cash Collateral Held *(on balance sheet)*	$–	$–
Securities Collateral Held *(off balance sheet)*	$–	$–
Cash Collateral Posted *(off balance sheet)*	$–	$–
Securities Collateral Posted *(on balance sheet)*	$–	$–

The District's derivative activities, which are performed by the Bank, are monitored by the Asset-Liability Management Committee (ALCO) as part of its oversight of the District's asset/liability and treasury functions. The Bank's ALCO is responsible for approving hedging strategies that are developed within parameters established by the Bank's Board of Directors through the analysis of data derived from financial simulation models and other internal and industry sources. The resulting hedging strategies are then incorporated into the overall interest rate risk-management strategies.

Fair Value Hedges

For derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges, the gains or losses on the derivative, as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk, are recognized in current earnings. The District includes the gain or loss on the hedged items in the same line item (interest expense) as the offsetting loss or gain on the related interest rate swaps. The amount of the loss on interest rate swaps recognized in interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 was $8.0 million, while the amount of the gain on the Systemwide Debt Securities was $8.0 million. The amount of the loss on interest rate swaps recognized in interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was $8.5 million, while the amount of the gain on the Systemwide Debt Securities was $8.5 million. Gains and losses on each derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

Cash Flow Hedges

From time to time, the District may acquire when-issued securities, generally government agency guaranteed bonds. The when-issued transactions are contracts to purchase securities that will not be delivered until 30 or more days in the future. These purchase commitments are considered derivatives (cash flow hedges) in the form of forward contracts. Any differences in market value of the contracted securities, between the purchase and reporting or settlement date, represent the value of the forward contracts. These amounts are included in OCI and Other Liabilities or Other Assets as appropriate, as firm commitments in the District's Balance Sheet for each period end. As of the periods presented, the District had not committed to purchase any when-issued bonds.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, such as the District's forward contracts, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments

The following tables represent the fair value of derivative instruments designated as hedging instruments for the periods presented:

(dollars in thousands)	**Balance Sheet Classification – Assets**	**9/30/15 Fair Value**	**Balance Sheet Classification – Liabilities**	**9/30/15 Fair Value**
Receive-fixed swaps	Other Assets	$ 8,301	Other Liabilities	$ –
Forward contracts	Other Assets	–	Other Liabilities	–
Total		$ 8,301		$ –

(dollars in thousands)	**Balance Sheet Classification – Assets**	**12/31/14 Fair Value**	**Balance Sheet Classification – Liabilities**	**12/31/14 Fair Value**
Receive-fixed swaps	Other Assets	$ 16,267	Other Liabilities	$ –
Forward contracts	Other Assets	–	Other Liabilities	–
Total		$ 16,267		$ –

The following table sets forth the amount of net gain (loss) on derivatives recognized in earnings and, for cash flow hedges, the amount of net gain (loss) recognized in AOCI for the periods presented. See Note 5, *Shareholders' Equity*.

(dollars in thousands)	**Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in, or Reclassified from AOCI into, Income**	**Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in, or Reclassified from AOCI into, Income ***		**Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)**		**Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)**	
		2015	**2014**	**2015**	**2014**	**2015**	**2014**
Fair Value Hedges:							
Receive-fixed swaps	Noninterest income	$ –	$ –				
Cash Flow Hedges:							
Firm Commitments	Interest Income	$ 330	$ 668	$ –	$ –	$ –	$ –
Forward Contracts	Gains (Losses) on Other Transactions	102	157	–	–	102	157

* *Represents total gain or loss for fair value hedges and effective portion for cash flow hedges.*

Note 11 — Regulatory Enforcement Matters

As of September 30, 2015, two District Associations with combined total assets of $1.041 billion were operating under written supervisory agreements with the FCA. The agreements require the District Associations to take corrective actions with respect to certain identified practices including, respectively, one or more of the following: asset quality, earnings and liquidity, senior management, collateral risk management, corporate governance and related items. These enforcement actions are not expected to have a significant adverse impact on the District's financial condition or results of operations.

Note 12 — Subsequent Events

The District evaluated subsequent events and determined that, except as described below, there were none requiring disclosure through November 6, 2015, which was the date the financial statements were issued.

On October 19, 2015, the Bank's Board of Directors declared a special patronage distribution totaling $100.0 million to be paid on January 1, 2016.